**BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth** 

# **Open Access**



# Knowledge and practice of community pharmacists regarding the safety of drugs during pregnancy: a cross-sectional study from a developing country

Amer A. Koni<sup>1,2</sup>, Hamzah Qashoa<sup>1</sup>, Abeer Abo Musa<sup>1</sup>, Maen Masri<sup>1</sup>, Walaa Hazem<sup>1</sup>, Sari Taha<sup>3</sup>, Aiman Daifallah<sup>1</sup>, Samah W. Al-Jabi<sup>1</sup>, Amani S Abushanab<sup>1</sup> and Sa'ed H. Zyoud<sup>1,4,5\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** Drug use during pregnancy can cause unfavorable fetal and maternal outcomes. Information sharing is essential for pharmacists' role within intricate, modern healthcare systems. Community pharmacists (CPs) have demonstrated unsatisfactory knowledge across various pharmacological domains in most developing countries. This study aimed to explore the knowledge and practices of CPs regarding medications and herb safety during pregnancy.

**Methods** A cross-sectional study was conducted in a developing country using a self-administered questionnaire. A sample of CPs working in the northern governorates of the West Bank was selected by convenience sampling. The questionnaire included questions on sociodemographic characteristics, practices and knowledge. Descriptive and inferential statistics were calculated using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) to analyze the data.

**Results** A total of 207 questionnaires were completed. Most respondents had only a bachelor's degree (89.9%) but did not participate in continuous professional development (CPD) (71.0%). Almost one-third of the CP workload involved dispensing drugs to pregnant women. The majority of the participants reported that they inquire about pregnancy status (59.9%), refer to scientific sources (82.6%), and contact a prescribing physician (51.2%) in cases of uncertainty. A higher knowledge score was associated with receiving a master's degree and CPD programs. Most CPs identified folic acid, paracetamol and amoxicillin as safe, while tetracycline, isotretinoin, enalapril, pseudoephedrine and ibuprofen were among the drugs mostly reported as unsafe. Castor oil, Senna, St. John's wort and ginseng were the most frequently reported herbs as unsafe.

**Conclusions** Despite the gaps in knowledge about herb pharmacology, CPs demonstrated acceptable knowledge and practice regarding drug safety during pregnancy. CPD is recommended for addressing gaps in knowledge and practice. Future research evaluating knowledge and practice may benefit from developing a specific, accurate, validated instrument.

Keywords Pregnancy, Community pharmacists, Drug use, Knowledge, Practice

\*Correspondence: Sa'ed H. Zyoud saedzyoud@yahoo.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

## Background

Inappropriate drug use during pregnancy poses considerable risks to both the mother and the fetus, causing maternal complications and adverse fetal outcomes [1-4]. Assessment of potential fetal harm resulting from drugs is challenging due to ethical considerations [5]. Pregnancy-related data on most available drugs are derived from observational studies. In the premarketing stage, data on medication safety are based on experimental animal studies, as new drugs do not include pregnant women in clinical trials. However, the reliability of establishing a pregnancy safety profile at that stage is limited due to difficulties in extrapolating from these animal studies [6].

Medical regulatory authorities worldwide have developed risk classification systems that categorize medications based on the associated risks and benefits while recognizing the limitations of such classifications and the importance of case-by-case clinical evaluation [7, 8]. Indeed, evaluating drug safety is complex. The teratogenic risk of drugs, for example, depends on several factors, such as drug dosage, route of administration, treatment duration, and gestational age during which the drug is used [1, 9]. Moreover, physiological changes during pregnancy may alter the pharmacokinetic properties of drugs [10].

Drugs may be used during pregnancy to manage preexisting health conditions such as hypertension and diabetes; treat medical complications of pregnancy such as preeclampsia; and alleviate pregnancy-induced symptoms such as nausea and vomiting [11-14]. The most frequently used medications during pregnancy include antibiotics, antifungal agents, iron tablets, analgesics, antiemetics and antacids [15, 16]. This is in addition to self-medicating with over-the-counter (OTC) medications and herbs, which are more difficult to assess. While global estimates of drug use during pregnancy vary across different settings, most studies indicate a high utilization rate. A large web-based survey showed that more than 80% of pregnant women in the Americas, Australia and Europe used at least one medication, either prescribed or OTC [12]. Regionally, a study from Saudi Arabia reported that almost 40% of women used medicines or herbs during pregnancy [17]. In Palestine, the utilization of drugs during pregnancy is common. A study of pregnant women revealed that more than twothirds of participants reported taking prescription-only medications, while most took vitamins, iron, and calcium supplements [18]. Another study investigated the use of complementary and alternative medicines (CAMs) among pregnant women. Most participants (87.7%) used nonherbal, biologically based drugs, including vitamins and supplements [19]. In addition, the use of herbs during pregnancy was found to be prevalent, ranging between 77.1% and 88.4% [20, 21].

In the modern medical environment, the role of pharmacists has expanded from the mere dispensation of accurate and safe drugs to the collaborative and specialized coordination of pharmaceutical care [22]. The services provided by pharmacy practice have diversified to include pharmaceutical counseling, patient education, medication therapy management, and collaboration with other healthcare professionals. Most importantly, pharmacists have become responsible for optimizing and transitioning patient care across different settings [23]. As the pharmacist is one of the most accessible healthcare professionals, information sharing is key to fulfilling the pharmacist's duty and developing pharmacist-patient relationships [22, 24].

Although the main role of community pharmacists (CPs) in Palestine is still focused on dispensing medication with limited patient communication [25, 26], CPs seem to influence patients' decisions. Two studies demonstrated the significant influence of CPs on patients' decisions to self-medicate and use CAM [27]. This is especially relevant for antibiotics, which can be purchased without a prescription in Palestine [27]. However, pharmacists in Palestine had fair-to-average knowledge of several pharmacological topics, including side effects and contraindications for drugs, bioequivalence and pharmacokinetics, drug-food interactions, antibiotic resistance, and CAM [28-31]. This knowledge gap implies possible poor practices regarding the use of medications during pregnancy. To date, no study has explored the knowledge of CPs regarding the use of medications during pregnancy, which is key to informing educational and regulatory interventions to improve pharmacy practices. This study aimed to investigate the knowledge of CPs regarding the use of prescribed medications, OTC medications and herbs during pregnancy in Palestine.

## Methods

## Study design

This was a cross-sectional study based on a self-administered questionnaire (Additional file 1). All licensed community pharmacists working in the governorates of Nablus, Tulkarm, Qalqilya, and Salfit in the northern region of the West Bank were eligible for inclusion.

## Sampling

The Raosoft online sample size calculator was used to estimate the sample size (http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize. html). Based on information from the Palestine Pharmacists Syndicate, 1856 licensed community pharmacists worked in the northern governorates of the West Bank. With a predetermined margin of error of 5% and a confidence level of 90%, the minimum sample size was 237. To account for potential nonresponse and missing data, the surveyed sample size was increased to 250. A convenience sampling technique was employed because obtaining a comprehensive list of pharmacists and their workplace locations is impractical and infeasible.

## Questionnaire

The initial form of the questionnaire was constructed based on previous studies [28–31] and cross-reviewed for content validity by a group of professors and pharmacists with experience in clinical pharmacy, biostatistics and research. In this cross-review process, the medication list was developed independently by each expert, cross-checked, and edited to include the most common medications, supplements, and herbs used locally. The selection of medications ensured the development of a comprehensive list of the various types and indications of medications, such as antibiotics, bronchodilators, oral contraceptives, and pain killers.

The questionnaire was forward-translated to Arabic, the native language of the respondents, by two independent translators to address discrepancies between the translations. The questionnaire was subsequently backtranslated to English to ensure the accuracy of the original translation. To test the feasibility and acceptability of the questionnaire and ensure its face validity, a pilot study was conducted on 20 respondents who were excluded from participating in the primary study. Guided by the opinions of the respondents in the pilot study, minor inaccuracies in language and content were improved. The time needed to complete the questionnaire was approximately 10 min.

The questionnaire was structured into three sections (Additional file 1):

- The first section included questions on the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of the respondents, including age, years of experience, title of academic degree, percentage of workload dispensing to pregnant women out of the total workload, working hours per week, professional status (owner, co-owner, or employee) and engagement in continuous professional development.
- The second section explored the confidence and practices of community pharmacists when dispensing medications during pregnancy, including asking about pregnancy status, switching medications to safer options, assessing eligibility to provide information and dispensing OTC medications.
- The third section explored knowledge on medication safety during pregnancy, where the respondent was provided with four choices (safe; the benefits and risks must be evaluated; not safe; I do not know). The

drugs were divided into four categories that listed the most commonly used drugs, in addition to those well known to cause harm to the pregnant woman. The "prescription drugs" category included 25 drugs, such as amoxicillin, azithromycin, enalapril, isotretinoin, and tetracycline. The second category included OTC drugs such as paracetamol, aspirin, and famotidine. The "nutrient" category included vitamins, iron and forms of folic acid. The fourth category included CAMs that are usually available in Palestinian pharmacies, such as castor oil, senna, mint and ginger.

The knowledge score was calculated by adding all correct answers for prescription-only medications and OTC medications, with a range from zero to 40. However, three medications (Enalapril, Ibuprofen, and Bismuth subsalicylate) were excluded from the total scores due to their variable Food and Drug Administration (FDA) pregnancy categories across different trimesters.

## Data analysis

Descriptive and inferential statistics were employed to analyze the data using the 26th version of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). The mean ( $\pm$ SD) was reported for continuous variables such as age and years of experience. Percentages and frequencies are reported for categorical variables. The Mann–Whitney U test and Kruskal–Wallis H test were used to analyze the associations between the knowledge score, a continuous variable, and other categorical variables. Spearman correlation was used to test for correlations between knowledge scores and other continuous variables, including age and years of experience. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

## Results

## Sociodemographic characteristics

Of the initial 250 questionnaires distributed, 43 were returned or contained missing data. Therefore, a total of 207 questionnaires were included in the data analysis. The mean age of the respondents was 34.1±10.3 years; 130 were female (62.8%), and 77 were male (37.2%) (Table 1). The majority of respondents were married (64.7%), had children (57.0%), and resided in urban areas (62.8%). A significant proportion of the participants were from the Nablus governorate (43.0%). Most of the respondents had graduated from a local university (75.8%) and had only a bachelor's degree (89.9%), while 16 (7.7%) had a master's degree and only 5 (2.4%) had a PhD. Regarding employment status, most of the respondents were employees (67.6%), predominantly working in city pharmacies (59.4%) and had participated in continuous professional development programmes (71.0%).

 
 Table 1
 Description of the sociodemographic characteristics of the sample

SexMale77 (37.2)Female130 (62.8)Female130 (62.8)Graduation place50 (24.2)Local graduate50 (24.2)International graduate50 (24.2)Education degree186 (89.9)Master's16 (7.7)PhD5 (2.4)Educational program participation5 (2.4)Vaster's60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate99 (43.0)Nabuls89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm25 (26.6)Children118 (57.0)No138 (57.0)Na34 (3.1)Nafel Sattus33 (35.3)Maried13 (35.3)Married13 (35.3)Married13 (35.3)Married13 (59.4)Village63 (32.9)Gamp62.9)Yillage13 (35.3)Married13 (59.4)Village13 (35.3)Married13 (59.4)Village13 (35.3)Gamp62.9)Entership13 (36.2)Yillage13 (36.2)Yillage13 (36.2)Yillage13 (36.2)Yillage13 (36.2)Yillage13 (36.2)Yillage13 (36.2)Yillage13 (36.2)Yillage13 (36.2)Yillage52 (26.6)Yillage52 (26.6)Yillage52 (26.6)Yillage53 (26.6)<	Variable	Frequency (%)
Male77 (37.2)Female130 (62.8)Forduation place157 (75.8)International graduate50 (24.2)Education degree86 (89.9)Master's16 (7.7)PhD5 (2.4)Educational program participation5 (2.4)Type60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate99 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm25 (26.0)No18 (57.0)No18 (57.0)No18 (57.0)Suffit31 (35.3)Tulkarm33 (35.3)Maried13 (35.3)Maried34 (30.7)Pharmacy location13 (35.3)Maried13 (35.3)Maried14 (37.7)Maried13 (35.3)Maried13 (35.3)Maried14 (37.7)Maried14 (37.7) <t< td=""><td>Sex</td><td></td></t<>	Sex	
Female130 (62.8)Graduation place57 (75.8)International graduate50 (24.2)Education degree86 (89.9)Master's16 (7.7)PhD5 (24.9)Fducational program participation5 (24.9)Pho5 (24.9)Solocational program participation60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children27 (13.0)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children20 (20.0)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Parmacy location134 (64.7)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Gamp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village62.9)City130 (62.8)Village62.9)Employment status71 (34.3)Partnership12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)	Male	77 (37.2)
Graduation placeLocal graduate157 (75.8)International graduate50 (24.2)Education degree186 (89.9)Master's16 (7.7)PhD5 (2.4)PhD5 (2.4)Educational program participation60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children27 (13.0)Tus89 (43.0)Salfit27 (13.0)Tus89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tus89 (43.0)Sulfaren89 (43.0)Tus36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tus36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Sulfaren36 (17.4)Sulfaren36 (17.4)Sulfaren36 (17.4)Sulfaren36 (17.4)Sulfaren36 (17.4)No89 (43.0)Martied31 (63.0)Martied31 (63.2)Gampa36 (17.4)Village36 (32.9)City13 (62.8)Village13 (62.8)Village13 (62.8)Village13 (62.8)Village13 (62.8)Village13 (62.8)Village13 (62.8)Village13 (62.8)Village13 (13.1)Cowner55 (26.6)Em	Female	130 (62.8)
Local graduate157 (75.8)International graduate50 (24.2)Education degree186 (89.9)Bachelor's16 (7.7)Master's5 (2.4)PhD5 (2.4)Educational program participation147 (71.0)Yes60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate20 (29.0)Nablus89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm5 (26.6)Children118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit37 (13.0)Tulkarm5 (26.6)No89 (43.0)No118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)No89 (43.0)No138 (57.0)No138 (57.0)No138 (57.0)No138 (57.0)Married133 (52.8)Married133 (52.8)Village6 (29.9)City130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village140 (67.6)Empl	Graduation place	
International graduate50 (24.2)Education degree186 (89.9)Master's16 (7.7)PhD5 (2.4)Educational program participation5 (2.4)Yes60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate147 (71.0)Governate29 (33.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children118 (57.0)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Salfit36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)No89 (43.0)Salfit36 (32.0)Children138 (57.0)No134 (64.7)No134 (64.7)Married133 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)City130 (62.8)Village61 (39.1)City130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Camp62 (39.1)Partnership12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employment status55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)	Local graduate	157 (75.8)
Education degreeBachelor's186 (89.9)Master's16 (7.7)PhD5 (2.4)Educational program participation5 (2.4)Yes60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate9 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children9 (43.0)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Salfit30 (0.2)Tulkarm39 (43.0)Single138 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Martial status39 (43.0)Single33 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location134 (64.7)City123 (59.4)Village63 (32.9)Camp130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Camp130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Camp12 (5.8)Partnership12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)	International graduate	50 (24.2)
Bachelor's186 (89.9)Master's16 (7.7)PhD5 (2.4)Educational program participation60 (29.0)No60 (29.0)No80 (43.0)Governate89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Vas89 (43.0)Salfit118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Surgle73 (35.3)Martial status118 (57.0)Single73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location134 (64.7)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Camp6 (2.9)Employment status71 (34.3)Partnership12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)	Education degree	
Master's16 (7.7)PhD5 (2.4)Educational program participationYes60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate147 (71.0)Mablus89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children71 (30.0)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Martial status55 (26.6)Single118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Married138 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Martial status73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location134 (64.7)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village61 (39.1)City130 (62.8)Village61 (39.1)City130 (62.8)Village61 (39.1)City130 (62.8)Village61 (39.1)City130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Camp62 (9)Employment status12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)Employee140 (67.6)	Bachelor's	186 (89.9)
PhD5 (2.4)Functional program participationYes60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate147 (71.0)Mablus89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children55 (26.6)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Marital status89 (43.0)Married118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location73 (35.3)Gity123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency12 (5.9)City130 (62.8)Village61 (3.9)City130 (62.8)Village61 (3.9)City130 (62.8)Village61 (3.9)City130 (62.8)Village61 (3.9)City130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)City130 (62.8)Village12 (5.8)Component status12 (5.8)Partnership12 (5.8)Conver55 (26.6)Employeee140 (67.6)	Master's	16 (7.7)
Educational program participationYes60 (29.0)No147 (71.0)Governate147 (71.0)Mablus89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children27 (13.0)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Marital status89 (43.0)Single118 (57.0)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location33 (35.3)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village1130 (62.8)Village12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employment status12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)	PhD	5 (2.4)
Yes       60 (29.0)         No       147 (71.0)         Governate       9         Nablus       89 (43.0)         Qalqilya       36 (17.4)         Salfit       27 (13.0)         Tulkarm       55 (26.6)         Children       7         Yes       118 (57.0)         No       89 (43.0)         Marriad       55 (26.6)         Marriad status       9         Single       118 (57.0)         No       89 (43.0)         Marriad status       9         Single       118 (57.0)         No       89 (43.0)         Marriad status       9         Single       118 (57.0)         No       89 (43.0)         Marriad status       9         Single       118 (57.0)         Married       130 (52.3)         Married       123 (59.4)         Village       68 (32.9)         Camp       120 (62.8)         Village       130 (62.8)         Village       130 (62.8)         Village       61 (2.9)         Employment status       12 (5.8)         Owner       55 (26.6) <td>Educational program participation</td> <td></td>	Educational program participation	
No147 (71.0)Governate147 (71.0)Governate99 (43.0)Nablus89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Safft27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children118 (57.0)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Marrial status99 (43.0)Single73 (35.3)Married73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location123 (59.4)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)City130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)City130 (62.8)Village12 (5.8)Owner5 (26.6)Employment status12 (5.8)Owner5 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)	Yes	60 (29.0)
GovernateNablus89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Safit27 (13.0)Tulkarn55 (26.6)Children5 (26.6)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Marital status89 (43.0)Single73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location123 (59.4)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)City130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Gamp6 (2.9)Employment status12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)Total207 (100)	No	147 (71.0)
Nablus89 (43.0)Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children9Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Martal status89 (43.0)Single73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location123 (59.4)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employment status12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)	Governate	
Qalqilya36 (17.4)Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children18 (57.0)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Marital status33 (35.3)Married73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location123 (59.4)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village12 (5.8)Owner5 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)Total207 (100)	Nablus	89 (43.0)
Salfit27 (13.0)Tulkarm55 (26.6)ChildrenYes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Marital statusSingle73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location123 (59.4)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village61 (29.1)City130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Camp6 (2.9)Employment status12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)Total207 (100)	Qalqilya	36 (17.4)
Tulkarm55 (26.6)Children118 (57.0)Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Marital status39 (43.0)Marital status134 (64.7)Single73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location134 (64.7)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Camp6 (2.9)Employment status12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)Total207 (100)	Salfit	27 (13.0)
ChildrenYes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Marital status89 (43.0)Married73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location134 (64.7)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village12 (5.8)Owner5 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)Total207 (100)	Tulkarm	55 (26.6)
Yes118 (57.0)No89 (43.0)Marital status73 (35.3)Married73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location123 (59.4)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Camp6 (2.9)Employment status12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)Total207 (100)	Children	
No89 (43.0)Marital status73 (35.3)Single73 (35.3)Married134 (64.7)Pharmacy location123 (59.4)City123 (59.4)Village68 (32.9)Camp68 (32.9)Camp16 (7.7)Residency130 (62.8)Village71 (34.3)Camp6 (2.9)Employment status12 (5.8)Owner55 (26.6)Employee140 (67.6)Total207 (100)	Yes	118 (57.0)
Marital status         Single       73 (35.3)         Married       134 (64.7)         Pharmacy location       123 (59.4)         City       123 (59.4)         Village       68 (32.9)         Camp       16 (7.7)         Residency       130 (62.8)         Village       130 (62.8)         Village       130 (62.8)         Village       130 (62.8)         Village       6 (2.9)         Employment status       71 (34.3)         Owner       52 (26.6)         Employee       140 (67.6)         Total       207 (100)	No	89 (43.0)
Single     73 (35.3)       Married     134 (64.7)       Pharmacy location     123 (59.4)       City     123 (59.4)       Village     68 (32.9)       Camp     16 (7.7)       Residency     130 (62.8)       Village     130 (62.8)       Village     71 (34.3)       Camp     6 (2.9)       Employment status     12 (5.8)       Owner     55 (26.6)       Employee     140 (67.6)       Total     207 (100)	Marital status	
Married     134 (64.7)       Pharmacy location     123 (59.4)       City     123 (59.4)       Village     68 (32.9)       Camp     16 (7.7)       Residency     130 (62.8)       Village     130 (62.8)       Village     130 (62.8)       Village     6 (2.9)       Employment status     12 (5.8)       Owner     55 (26.6)       Employee     140 (67.6)       Total     207 (100)	Single	73 (35.3)
Pharmacy location       123 (59.4)         City       123 (59.4)         Village       68 (32.9)         Camp       16 (7.7)         Residency       10 (62.8)         Village       130 (62.8)         Village       71 (34.3)         Camp       6 (2.9)         Employment status       12 (5.8)         Owner       55 (26.6)         Employee       140 (67.6)         Total       207 (100)	Married	134 (64.7)
City     123 (59.4)       Village     68 (32.9)       Camp     16 (7.7)       Residency     130 (62.8)       Village     71 (34.3)       Camp     6 (2.9)       Employment status     12 (5.8)       Owner     55 (26.6)       Employee     140 (67.6)       Total     207 (100)	Pharmacy location	
Village     68 (32.9)       Camp     16 (7.7)       Residency     130 (62.8)       Village     71 (34.3)       Camp     6 (2.9)       Employment status     12 (5.8)       Owner     55 (26.6)       Employee     140 (67.6)       Total     207 (100)	City	123 (59.4)
Camp     16 (7.7)       Residency     130 (62.8)       City     130 (62.8)       Village     71 (34.3)       Camp     6 (2.9)       Employment status     12 (5.8)       Owner     55 (26.6)       Employee     140 (67.6)       Total     207 (100)	Village	68 (32.9)
Residency         130 (62.8)           Village         71 (34.3)           Camp         6 (2.9)           Employment status         71           Partnership         12 (5.8)           Owner         55 (26.6)           Employee         140 (67.6)           Total         207 (100)	Camp	16 (7.7)
City     130 (62.8)       Village     71 (34.3)       Camp     6 (2.9)       Employment status     12 (5.8)       Owner     55 (26.6)       Employee     140 (67.6)       Total     207 (100)	Residency	
Village     71 (34.3)       Camp     6 (2.9)       Employment status     12 (5.8)       Owner     55 (26.6)       Employee     140 (67.6)       Total     207 (100)	City	130 (62.8)
Camp         6 (2.9)           Employment status         12 (5.8)           Partnership         12 (5.8)           Owner         55 (26.6)           Employee         140 (67.6)           Total         207 (100)	Village	71 (34.3)
Employment status         12 (5.8)           Partnership         55 (26.6)           Employee         140 (67.6)           Total         207 (100)	Camp	6 (2.9)
Partnership         12 (5.8)           Owner         55 (26.6)           Employee         140 (67.6)           Total         207 (100)	Employment status	
Owner         55 (26.6)           Employee         140 (67.6)           Total         207 (100)	Partnership	12 (5.8)
Employee         140 (67.6)           Total         207 (100)	Owner	55 (26.6)
Total 207 (100)	Employee	140 (67.6)
	Total	207 (100)

The mean professional experience among the respondents was  $9.2\pm9.1$  years, with a mean working hours of  $46.8\pm13.9$  h per week. Moreover, 32.7% of their total workload involved dispensing drugs to pregnant women.

## Practice and confidence of CPs

Most of the respondents reported that they "always" inquired about pregnancy status (59.9%) and that they had confidence in their knowledge of addressing drugand health-related concerns specific to pregnant women (72.5%). When faced with uncertainty about drug safety, 82.6% indicated that they would refer to scientific sources, and 48.8% would advise pregnant women to consult their physicians when requesting a potentially **Table 2** Description of the practices and confidence ofcommunity pharmacists regarding drug and herb safety duringpregnancy

Variable	Frequen-
	су (%)
Do you ask about the state of pregnancy?	
Always	124 (59.9)
Sometimes	53 (25.6)
Only if expected	29 (14.0)
Never	1 (0.5)
Do you refer to certain sources if you do not know the sa	afety of a
particular drug for pregnant women?	
No	2 (1.0)
Sometimes	34 (16.4)
Yes	171 (82.6)
If a pregnant woman wants to buy more of the medicine	e she is
taking, but you know that it is contraindicated during p	regnancy.
What advice do you give to her?	
Advise on an alternative medicine which is safer	32 (15.5)
Advice on stopping this medicine immediately	74 (35.7)
Advise to see the doctor again	101 (48.8)
You have sufficient knowledge to solve the drug and he	alth-relat-
ed problems of pregnant women	
Disagree	57 (27.5)
Agree	150 (72.5)
Do you return to the prescribers to change the prescribe	ed medi-
cine to a safer option?	
No	9 (4.3)
Sometimes	92 (44.4)
Yes	106 (51.2)
OTC medicines are safe for pregnant women	
No	18 (8.7)
Not all medicines	183 (88.4)
Yes	6 (2.9)
Total	207 (100)

contraindicated drug. Nearly half (51.2%) of the participants contacted the prescribing physician to explore safer options. When asked about the safety of OTC medicines during pregnancy, a substantial majority (88.4%) indicated that not all OTC medicines are considered safe. (See Table 2 for more descriptive details of the knowledge about drug and herb safety.)

The prescribed drugs that were most frequently reported as safe during pregnancy were amoxicillin (98.1%), azithromycin (81.2%), inhaled ipratropium (64.3%) and inhaled budesonide (63.3%), while those most frequently reported as unsafe were tetracycline (88.9%), isotretinoin (85.5%), enalapril (72.5%), ciprofloxacin (72.0%) and oral contraceptives (69.1%) (Table 3). Additionally, mebendazole (49.8%), fluconazole (48.3%), and clarithromycin (45.9%) were the most common drugs identified as requiring further risk-benefit analysis. The most frequently cited safe drugs for OTC medications were folic acid, paracetamol, ferrous gluconate, calcium carbonate and famotidine, while pseudoephedrine and

Drug	Safe	Must evaluate	Not safe	l don't
		risk/benefit		know
	Frequency	y (%)		
Amoxicillin	203 (98.1)	3 (1.4)	1 (0.5)	0 (0.0)
Metformin	120 (58.0)	53 (25.6)	22 (10.6)	12 (5.8)
Azithromycin	168 (81.2)	31 (15.0)	6 (2.9)	2 (1.0)
Clarithromycin	63 (30.4)	95 (45.9)	39 (18.8)	10 (4.8)
Mebendazole	22 (10.6)	103 (49.8)	63 (30.4)	19 (9.2)
Fluconazole	34 (16.4)	100 (48.3)	64 (30.9)	9 (4.3)
Enalapril	4 (1.9)	42 (20.3)	150 (72.5)	11 (5.3)
Amlodipine	27 (13.0)	60 (29.0)	110 (53.1)	10 (4.8)
Bisoprolol	34 (16.4)	78 (37.7)	81 (39.1)	14 (6.8)
Atorvastatin	8 (3.9)	56 (27.1)	129 (62.3)	14 (6.8)
Lamotrigine	19 (9.2)	77 (37.2)	81 (39.1)	30
				(14.5)
Phenobarbital	7 (3.4)	52 (25.1)	132 (63.8)	16 (7.7)
Carbamazepine	12 (5.8)	69 (33.3)	105 (50.7)	21
				(10.1)
Isotretinoin	2 (1.0)	22 (10.6)	177 (85.5)	6 (2.9)
Metronidazole	73 (35.3)	93 (44.9)	34 (16.4)	7 (3.4)
Acyclovir	27 (13.0)	84 (40.6)	63 (30.4)	33
				(15.9)
Inhaled	128 (61.8)	52 (25.1)	17 (8.2)	10 (4.8)
Salbutamol	0 (0 0)	(0, (1,0,0))	4 40 (60 4)	
Oral	8 (3.9)	40 (19.3)	143 (69.1)	16 (7.7)
Daravatina	E (2 4)	E7 (27 E)	111 (E2 6)	24
Paroxetine	5 (2.4)	57 (27.5)	111 (53.0)	34 (16.4)
Valproic acid	14 (6.8)	52 (25 1)	116 (56.0)	25
valpiole dela	11(0.0)	52 (25.1)	110 (50.0)	(12.1)
Inhaled	133 (64.3)	48 (23.2)	14 (6.8)	12 (5.8)
Ipratropium				
Inhaled	131 (63.3)	62 (30.0)	10 (4.8)	4 (1.9)
Budesonide				
Alprazolam	10 (4.8)	40 (19.3)	136 (65.7)	21
				(10.1)
Ciprofloxacin	13 (6.3)	36 (17.4)	149 (72.0)	9 (4.3)
Tetracycline	6 (2.9)	12 (5.8)	184 (88.9)	5 (2.4)

 Table 3
 Description of pharmaceutical knowledge about

 prescription drug safety during pregnancy

ibuprofen were considered unsafe. In addition, the OTC medications most commonly reported as needing riskbenefit evaluation were dextromethorphan, guaifenesin, caffeine and ibuprofen (Table 4).

Regarding the use of supplements during pregnancy, vitamin C, vitamin D, vitamin B and zinc acetate were the most frequently considered safe supplements, while vitamin A was reported as unsafe by 65.2% of the respondents (Table 5). Finally, the herbs most frequently considered safe during pregnancy were chamomile, peppermint and anise. In contrast, castor oil, Senna, St. John's wort and ginseng (42.5%) were the most frequently reported unsafe oils (see Table 6).

**Table 4** Description of pharmaceutical knowledge about OTC

 drug safety during pregnancy

Drug	Safe	Must evaluate risk/benefit	Not safe	l don't know
	Frequenc	y (%)		
Paracetamol	203 (98.1)	4 (1.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Ibuprofen	37 (17.9)	84 (40.6)	85 (41.1)	1 (0.5)
Guaifenesin	82 (39.6)	89 (43.0)	31 (15.0)	5 (2.4)
Pseudoephedrine	30 (14.5)	74 (35.7)	91 (44.0)	12 (5.8)
Aspirin	121 (58.5)	76 (36.7)	8 (3.9)	2 (1.0)
Caffeine	80 (38.6)	89 (43.0)	29 (14.0)	9 (4.3)
Famotidine	184 (88.9)	17 (8.2)	6 (2.9)	0 (0.0)
Dextromethorphan	65 (31.4)	95 (45.9)	39 (18.8)	8 (3.9)
Fluticasone Nasal	101 (48.8)	72 (34.8)	22 (10.6)	12 (5.8)
Loratadine	144 (69.6)	42 (20.3)	13 (6.3)	8 (3.9)
Rectal Lidocaine	60 (29.0)	72 (34.8)	41 (19.8)	34 (16.4)
Bismuth subsalicylate	32 (15.5)	77 (37.2)	59 (28.5)	39 (18.8)
Omeprazole	120 (58.0)	60 (29.0)	25 (12.1)	2 (1.0)
Calcium Carbonate	189 (91.3)	13 (6.3)	2 (1.0)	3 (1.4)
Ferrous gluconate	195 (94.2)	9 (4.3)	0 (0.0)	3 (1.4)
Folic acid	206 (99.5)	1 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Magnesium sulfate	142 (68.6)	43 (20.8)	10 (4.8)	12 (5.8)
Potassium chloride	94 (45.4)	69 (33.3)	13 (6.3)	31 (15.0)

**Table 5**Description of pharmaceutical knowledge aboutmedical supplement safety during pregnancy

Supplement	Safe	Must evaluate risk/benefit	Nust evaluate Not safe risk/benefit	
	Frequency	(%)		
Vitamin A	31 (15.0)	31 (15.0)	135 (65.2)	10 (4.8)
B Vitamins	170 (82.1)	23 (11.1)	6 (2.9)	8 (3.9)
Vitamin C	200 (96.6)	6 (2.9)	1 (0.5)	0 (0.0)
Vitamin D	179 (86.5)	19 (9.2)	4 (1.9)	5 (2.4)
Vitamin E	126 (60.9)	47 (22.7)	19 (9.2)	15 (7.2)
Vitamin K	98 (47.3)	61 (29.5)	30 (14.5)	18 (8.7)
Zinc acetate	156 (75.4)	35 (16.9)	1 (0.5)	15 (7.2)

## Knowledge of CPs

The median knowledge score of the participants was 19.00 [16.00–22.00]. A higher knowledge score was significantly associated with receiving a master's degree (p=0.027) and having participated in a CPD program (p=0.019) (Table 7). Moreover, the knowledge score had a negative but nonsignificant correlation with age

Table 6	Description of pharmaceutical knowledge abou	ıt
medicina	I herb safety during pregnancy	

Herb	Safe	Must evaluate risk/benefit	Not safe	l don't know
	Frequency	(%)		
Anise	152 (73.4)	30 (14.5)	14 (6.8)	11 (5.3)
Castor oil	17 (8.2)	31 (15.0)	147 (71.0)	12 (5.8)
Chamomile	162 (78.3)	21 (10.1)	12 (5.8)	12 (5.8)
Garlic	151 (72.9)	30 (14.5)	8 (3.9)	18 (8.7)
Ginger	113 (54.6)	50 (24.2)	31 (15.0)	13 (6.3)
Ginseng	27 (13.0)	54 (26.1)	88 (42.5)	38 (18.4)
Parsley	61 (29.5)	56 (27.1)	64 (30.9)	26 (12.6)
Peppermint	158 (76.3)	29 (14.0)	8 (3.9)	12 (5.8)
Psyllium	63 (30.4)	56 (27.1)	46 (22.2)	42 (20.3)
Cinnamon	55 (26.6)	56 (27.1)	79 (38.2)	17 (8.2)
Clove	61 (29.5)	56 (27.1)	51 (24.6)	39 (18.8)
Senna	8 (3.9)	34 (16.4)	143 (69.1)	22 (10.6)
St. John's Wort	5 (2.4)	39 (18.8)	111 (53.6)	52 (25.1)
Thyme	146 (70.5)	29 (14.0)	21 (10.1)	11 (5.3)

(r= -0.027, p=0.694) and years of experience (r = -0.027, p=0.521) (Table 8).

## Discussion

Despite their influence on patients' drug decisions, pharmacists in Palestine have demonstrated unsatisfactory knowledge about various pharmacological topics, especially in critical conditions such as pregnancy [28–31]. This study aimed to investigate the knowledge and practices of CPs working in Palestine regarding drug use and safety during pregnancy. The study's findings revealed that, despite some gaps, CPs demonstrate safe practices and adequate knowledge overall. Despite the considerable workload of dispensing drugs to pregnant women, most CPs do not engage in continuous professional development (CPD) to maintain and update their knowledge.

While CPs seem to follow safe practices when dispensing drugs to pregnant women, some responses reflect fair and inadequate practices. For instance, the proportions of CPs who were asked about pregnancy status and those who were referred to physicians to explore safer options were slightly greater than half. This finding might be ascribed to the taboo surrounding discussions of sexual and reproductive matters in public, discouraging open communication of such matters [32]. Especially in a conservative society, asking about reproductive status may be considered an infringement on privacy and a source of embarrassment for women. Even in less conservative societies, such questions may be considered sensitive. For instance, almost half of CPs in a study conducted in Belgium considered "asking about pregnancy status" to be sensitive [33]. Furthermore, routine inquiries about

Table 7	Association	between	the kno	owledge	score	and
backgrou	und characte	eristics of t	the par	ticipants		

Variable	Freq. (%)	Median [Q1-Q3]	Р
	-		value
Sex			0.873
Vale	77 (37.2)	18.00 [16.00-22.00]	
- emale	130 (62.8)	19.00 [16.00-21.25]	
Graduation place			0.686
_ocal graduate	157 (75.8)	19.00 [15.50-22.00]	
nternational graduate	50 (24.2)	18.50 [16.75-22.00]	
Education degree			0.027
Bachelor's	186 (89.9)	18.00 [16.00-21.00]	
Master's	16 (7.7)	21.00 [18.25–23.75]	
PhD	5 (2.4)	16.00 [11.50–22.50]	
Participation in continu- ous professional devel-			0.019
opment (CPD) programs	()		
Yes	60 (29.0)	18.00 [15.00-21.00]	
No	147 (71.0)	19.50 [17.00–22.00]	
Governorate			0.063
Nablus	89 (43.0)	20.00 [16.00-22.00]	
Qalqilya	36 (17.4)	18.00 [16.00–20.00]	
Salfit	27 (13.0)	17.00 [15.00–19.00]	
Tulkarm	55 (26.6)	19.00 [16.00–22.00]	
Children			0.114
Yes	118 (57.0)	20.00 [16.00-22.00]	
No	89 (43.0)	18.00 [16.00-21.25]	
Marital status			0.157
Single	73 (35.3)	20.00 [16.00-22.00]	
Married	134 (64.7)	18.00 [16.00-21.25]	
Pharmacy location			0.507
City	123 (59.4)	19.00 [16.00–22.00]	
/illage	68 (32.9)	18.00 [16.00-21.75]	
Camp	16 (7.7)	17.00 [16.25–22.50]	
Residency			0.722
City	130 (62.8)	19.00 [15.00-22.00]	
/illage	71 (34.3)	19.00 [16.00-22.00]	
Camp	6 (2.9)	17.00 [15.50-20.00]	
Employment status			0.457
Partnership	12 (5.8)	20.50 [18.00-21.75]	
Owner	55 (26.6)	18.00 [16.00-22.00]	
Employee	140 (67.6)	19.00 [16.00-21.00]	
Total	207 (100)		

**Table 8** Correlations between the knowledge score and background characteristics of the participants

		Age	Work
			expe- rience (years)
Knowledge Score	Correlation Coefficient	-0.027	-0.045
	P value	0.694	0.521

pregnancy status may be unnecessary, as most drugs do not pose a risk to pregnancy.

Knowledge of CPs about the pregnancy safety profile of prescribed and OTC medications seemed adequate, albeit not exceptional. Respondents correctly recognized safe and commonly used medications during pregnancy, such as amoxicillin, paracetamol and famotidine, and highly teratogenic drugs, such as isotretinoin and enalapril. However, only a minority of the respondents reported tetracycline, ciprofloxacin and ibuprofen as medications requiring risk-benefit evaluation, indicating existing gaps in knowledge that should be addressed. The overall adequate knowledge of drug safety during pregnancy obtained by this study contradicts the findings of local studies revealing a lack of knowledge among pharmacists across other pharmacology topics [12, 28-31, 34]. Given that the vulnerability of the fetus and the peculiar pregnancy-related pharmacokinetics necessitate a cautious approach, an extra emphasis is placed on knowledge about drug safety during pregnancy compared to other topics in education and practice. Moreover, pharmacists frequently encounter concerns about pregnancy during their daily practice, enhancing their knowledge in this regard. This is unlike other topics that can be perceived as less serious, such as the use of CAM, or considered more academic than practical for CPs, such as pharmacokinetic parameters.

However, these findings indicate additional gaps in knowledge about herb safety. While correctly identifying castor and Senna oil as unsafe, answers on the safety profile of ginseng (as correctly unsafe) and St. John's wort and psyllium (as correctly safe) did not constitute the majority. This finding is consistent with an unpublished study conducted among CPs in Palestine, which revealed inadequate knowledge about herb pharmacology, including herb safety during pregnancy [35]. This may be because the safety of herbs is regarded with less emphasis during pharmacy education. However, herbs are commonly used by pregnant women in Palestine, highlighting the importance of improving knowledge about herb pharmacology among CPs [20, 21].

Although global studies have mostly reported unsatisfactory knowledge among pharmacists regarding drug safety during pregnancy, comparison of these studies is challenging due to substantial methodological differences. Most of these studies reported knowledge scores using various assessment tools that address the complexity and relevance of the included questions [7, 33, 36–38]. However, one regional study from Saudi Arabia used a similar survey to assess the knowledge of CPs and revealed comparable results to our study [39]. To address this methodological difficulty, developing a uniform, accurate and validated questionnaire is recommended to measure knowledge of drug safety during pregnancy. This approach would facilitate accurate comparisons between different studies and enhance the internal validity of similar research.

The study findings reveal that CPs in Palestine have a considerable workload related to pregnant women, while CPD programmes designed to respond to this workload are limited. Almost one-third of the workload of CPs involved dispensing drugs to pregnant women, which is higher than that reported in other studies in Qatar and Nepal [37, 38]. The greater access of pregnant women to community pharmacies may be explained by the tendency to seek maternal health services from private providers, leading them to obtain drugs from community pharmacies out of pocket. In contrast, other integrated healthcare systems with universal health coverage usually provide drugs at hospital pharmacies, thus shifting the workload thereto. Indeed, out-of-pocket (OOP) expenditures contribute most to financing healthcare in Palestine [40]. In comparison, OOP expenditures as a percentage of current health expenditures were 39.49% in Palestine and only 9.48% in Qatar in 2020 [41, 42]. Furthermore, most CPs said they did not actively participate in CPD. While pharmacy education in Palestine has recently undergone notable development, opportunities for CPD remain scarce and disorganized. Pharmacists were found to encourage CPD implementation and acknowledge its benefits for their practice [43]. In fact, national guidelines for CPD were published by the Palestinian Ministry of Health in 2017; however, no policies or programs have been implemented yet [44].

A higher knowledge score was significantly associated with having a master's degree and participating in CPD programs. This emphasizes the importance of lifelong education to maintain pharmaceutical knowledge and improve patient care. Given the rapid advancements in knowledge and technology in healthcare, CPD programs are key to ensuring updated knowledge, maintaining professional standards, and providing safe, effective health services [45–49].

Therefore, a CPD program tailored to enhancing the knowledge of CPs about the safety of drugs during pregnancy is recommended. CPD should encompass academic and practical components and be linked to relicensing. For instance, Lebanon and Jordan have implemented a mandatory CPD scheme where failure to pass a minimal set of credits subjects the pharmacist to temporary suspension of professional registration [50, 51].

## Limitations and strengths

This study has several limitations. First, measurement errors may have affected the findings. Self-reported data on practice may introduce response bias, wherein respondents overestimate their practice level by answering based on idealized expectations instead of actual practice. Moreover, using an invalidated questionnaire might have compromised the measurement of knowledge and practice. Second, the sample was drawn from the northern governorates of the West Bank, limiting generalizability to the Palestinian population of CPs, including pharmacists who studied and trained elsewhere using different curricula. This study was the first in Palestine and among the first in the region to explore the knowledge and practices of CPs regarding drug safety during pregnancy. Furthermore, it simultaneously took place with the continuous development of pharmacy education in Palestine.

## Conclusions

CPs influence patients' decisions on drug choices during pregnancy. Overall, the findings of this study revealed that CPs have an appropriate level of knowledge and implement safe practices regarding the use and safety of drugs during pregnancy. These findings, however, indicated a lack of knowledge in some domains, particularly in herb pharmacology. Moreover, most CPs did not actively participate in any form of CPD, despite the need to update their knowledge and practices. A master's degree and participation in a CPD program were associated with higher knowledge scores. Implementing CPD interventions can improve the knowledge and practice of CPs regarding drug and herb safety during pregnancy and other pharmacological topics. Moreover, designing accurate and valid assessment tools to measure knowledge and practice would help enhance the internal validity of future research and facilitate comparisons between studies of different settings.

#### Abbreviations

CPs community pharmacists

- CPD continuous professional development
- OTC over-the-counter medications
- CAM complementary and alternative medicines
- SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences program
- OOP out-of-pocket expenditure

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12884-024-06393-3.

Supplementary Material 1

#### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the administrative support provided by An-Najah National University throughout the project. We also extend our sincere appreciation to the participating pharmacists from community pharmacies across Palestine. Their invaluable contributions were instrumental to the success of our research.

#### Author contributions

HQ, AAM, MM, and WH collected the data, reviewed the literature, and participated in manuscript writing. ST and AD wrote the manuscript. AAK, SWA, and AAS designed the study, analyzed the data, and participated in manuscript writing. SHZ conceptualized the study, reviewed the whole

## Funding

No funding was received for this study.

#### Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed for the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of An-Najah National University and the Palestinian Ministry of Health approved all parts of the study protocol (Ref: Pharm. Sep. 2021/49). Before starting the data collection, we explained all aspects of the study to the participants and obtained verbal consent. The IRB of An-Najah National University approved only verbal consent. Because we did not collect any identification data during the interviews and our research did not pose a major risk to patients, the IRB of An-Najah National University waived the requirement for written informed consent. The researchers confirmed that all the methods followed the relevant regulations and guidelines.

## **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

 <sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical and Community Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus 44839, Palestine
 <sup>2</sup>Division of Clinical Pharmacy, Department of Hematology and Oncology, An-Najah National University Hospital, Nablus 44839, Palestine
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus 44839, Palestine
 <sup>4</sup>Poison Control and Drug Information Center (PCDIC), Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus 44839, Palestine
 <sup>5</sup>Clinical Research Center, An-Najah National University Hospital,

Nablus 44839, Palestine

## Received: 8 September 2023 / Accepted: 4 March 2024 Published online: 11 March 2024

## References

- Nava-Ocampo AA, Koren G. Human teratogens and evidence-based teratogen risk counseling: the Motherisk approach. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2007;50(1):123–31.
- Magee LA, Mazzotta P, Koren G. Evidence-based view of safety and effectiveness of pharmacologic therapy for nausea and vomiting of pregnancy (NVP). Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002;186(5 Suppl Understanding):S256–261.
- Lim A, Stewart K, Konig K, George J. Systematic review of the safety of regular preventive asthma medications during pregnancy. Ann Pharmacother. 2011;45(7–8):931–45.
- Mitchell J, Goodman J. Comparative effects of antidepressant medications and untreated major depression on pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review. Arch Womens Ment Health. 2018;21(5):505–16.
- Committee on Ethics ACoO, Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion 377: Research involving women. Obstet Gynecol. 2007;110(3):731–6.
- Friedman JM. How do we know if an exposure is actually teratogenic in humans? Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet. 2011;157 C(3):170–4.
- Alem G, Awuonda M, Haastrup D, Anyiwo A, Daftary M, Wingate L, Ettienne E. Evaluation of knowledge of the new pregnancy and lactation labeling rule among pharmacists and physicians. J Am Pharm Assoc (2003). 2022;62(2):427–31.

- Euopean Medicines Agency. Risk assessment of medicinal products on human reproduction and lactation: from data to labelling - Scientific guideline. 2018. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/risk-assessment-medicinalproducts-human-reproduction-lactation-data-labelling-scientific-guideline (accessed August 15 2023).
- Fisher B, Rose NC, Carey JC. Principles and practice of teratology for the obstetrician. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2008;51(1):106–18.
- Pinheiro EA, Stika CS. Drugs in pregnancy: pharmacologic and physiologic changes that affect clinical care. Semin Perinatol. 2020;44(3):151221.
- Sawicki E, Stewart K, Wong S, Leung L, Paul E, George J. Medication use for chronic health conditions by pregnant women attending an Australian maternity hospital. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2011;51(4):333–8.
- Lupattelli A, Spigset O, Twigg MJ, Zagorodnikova K, Mardby AC, Moretti ME, Drozd M, Panchaud A, Hameen-Anttila K, Rieutord A, et al. Medication use in pregnancy: a cross-sectional, multinational web-based study. BMJ Open. 2014;4(2):e004365.
- de Jonge L, de Walle HE, de Jong-van den Berg LT, van Langen IM, Bakker MK. Actual use of medications prescribed during pregnancy: a cross-sectional study using data from a Population-based congenital Anomaly Registry. Drug Saf. 2015;38(8):737–47.
- Heitmann K, Holst L, Lupattelli A, Maltepe C, Nordeng H. Treatment of nausea in pregnancy: a cross-sectional multinational web-based study of pregnant women and new mothers. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2015;15:321.
- Mitchell AA, Gilboa SM, Werler MM, Kelley KE, Louik C, Hernandez-Diaz S, National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Medication use during pregnancy, with particular focus on prescription drugs: 1976–2008. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011;205(1):e5151–58.
- Subramanian A, Azcoaga-Lorenzo A, Anand A, Phillips K, Lee SI, Cockburn N, Fagbamigbe AF, Damase-Michel C, Yau C, McCowan C, et al. Polypharmacy during pregnancy and associated risk factors: a retrospective analysis of 577 medication exposures among 1.5 million pregnancies in the UK, 2000–2019. BMC Med. 2023;21(1):21.
- 17. Zaki NM, Albarraq AA. Use, attitudes and knowledge of medications among pregnant women: a Saudi study. Saudi Pharm J. 2014;22(5):419–28.
- Sawalha A. Consumption of prescription and non-prescription medications by pregnant women: A Cross Sectional Study in Palestine. Islam Univ J. 2007;15(2):41–57.
- 19. Quzmar Y, Istiatieh Z, Nabulsi H, Zyoud SH, Al-Jabi SW. The use of complementary and alternative medicine during pregnancy: a cross-sectional study from Palestine. BMC Complement Med Ther. 2021;21(1):108.
- Ali-Shtayeh MS, Jamous RM, Jamous RM. Plants used during pregnancy, childbirth, postpartum and infant healthcare in Palestine. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2015;21(2):84–93.
- 21. Eid AM, Jaradat N. Public knowledge, attitude, and practice on herbal remedies used during pregnancy and lactation in West Bank Palestine. Front Pharmacol. 2020;11:46.
- 22. Blouin RA, Adams ML. The role of the pharmacist in Health Care: Expanding and Evolving. N C Med J. 2017;78(3):165–7.
- 23. Bush PW, Daniels R. Health Care systems and transitions of Care: implication on interdisciplinary Pharmacy services. N C Med J. 2017;78(3):177–80.
- Worley MM, Schommer JC, Brown LM, Hadsall RS, Ranelli PL, Stratton TP, Uden DL. Pharmacists' and patients' roles in the pharmacist-patient relationship: are pharmacists and patients reading from the same relationship script? Res Social Adm Pharm. 2007;3(1):47–69.
- 25. Sweileh WM, Al-Jabi SW, Sawalha AF, Zyoud SH. Pharmacy education and practice in West Bank, Palestine. Am J Pharm Educ. 2009;73(2):38.
- Alkhaleq AA, Malawani A, Laboom K, Al-Ramahi R, Rajab S, Kittani S. The Present and Future of Pharmaceutical Care in Palestine: a Survey among pharmacists. Pal Med Pharm J. 2016;1(2):59–64.
- Al-Ramahi R. Patterns and attitudes of self-medication practices and possible role of community pharmacists in Palestine. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2013;51(7):562–7.
- Shawahna R, Al-Rjoub M, Al-Horoub MM, Al-Hroub W, Al-Rjoub B, Al-Nabi BA. Risk of error estimated from Palestine pharmacists' knowledge and certainty on the adverse effects and contraindications of active pharmaceutical ingredients and excipients. J Educ Eval Health Prof. 2016;13:1.
- Shraim NY, Al Taha TA, Qawasmeh RF, Jarrar HN, Shtaya MAN, Shayeb LA, Sweileh WM. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of community pharmacists on generic medicines in Palestine: a cross-sectional study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;17(1):847.

- Radwan A, Sweileh A, Shraim W, Hroub A, Elaraj J, Shraim N. Evaluation of community pharmacists' knowledge and awareness of food-drug interactions in Palestine. Int J Clin Pharm. 2018;40(3):668–75.
- Al-Halawa DA, Seir RA, Qasrawi R. Antibiotic Resistance Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices among Pharmacists: A Cross-Sectional Study in West Bank, Palestine. J Environ Public Health 2023, 2023:2294048.
- 32. United Nations Population Fund. Social Norms and Sexual and Reproductive Health among Youth in Palestine. 2020. https://palestine.unfpa.org/sites/ default/files/pub-pdf/social\_norm\_-youth\_srhr.pdf (accessed Jan 1 2024).
- Ceulemans M, Liekens S, Van Calsteren K, Allegaert K, Foulon V. Community pharmacists' attitudes, barriers, knowledge and counseling practice with regard to preconception, pregnancy and lactation. Res Social Adm Pharm. 2020;16(9):1192–200.
- 34. Shraim NY, Shawahna R, Sorady MA, Aiesh BM, Alashqar GS, Jitan RI, Abu Hanieh WM, Hotari YB, Sweileh WM, Zyoud SH. Community pharmacists' knowledge, practices and beliefs about complementary and alternative medicine in Palestine: a cross-sectional study. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2017;17(1):429.
- 35. Abu Arah E. Community Pharmacists' Medication Knowledge: A Nationwide Study in Palestine. An-Najah National University; 2012.
- Kamuhabwa A, Jalal R. Drug use in pregnancy: knowledge of drug dispensers and pregnant women in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. Indian J Pharmacol. 2011;43(3):345–9.
- Rouf PA, Thomas B, Elkassem W, Tarannum A, Al Saad D, Gasim MM, Al Hail M. Knowledge and practice characteristics of pharmacists in Qatar towards medication use in pregnancy: a cross-sectional survey. East Mediterr Health J. 2018;24(2):137–45.
- Kafle S, Jha N, Sapkota Y, Shankar PR. Knowledge of community pharmacists in the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal about the risks associated with medication use during pregnancy. Int J Risk Saf Med. 2022;33(3):309–18.
- Alrabiah Z, Al-Arifi MN, Alghadeer SM, Wajid S, AlQahtani A, Almotari N, AlHwerani A, Babelghaith SD. Knowledge of community pharmacists about the risks of medication use during pregnancy in central region of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Pharm J. 2017;25(7):1093–6.
- Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, Palestinian Ministry of Health. The Preliminary Results of Palestinian Health Accounts in Palestine for 2015. 2015. 2015. https://www.pcbs.gov.ps/post.aspx?lang=en&ltemID=1852 (accessed August 15 2023).
- World Bank. Out-of-pocket expenditure (% of current health expenditure) -Qatar. 2020 2020. https://data.worldbank.org (accessed August 15 2023).
- 42. Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics. Selected Indicators in Palestine, 2000–2018. 2020. https://www.pcbs.gov.ps/statisticsIndicatorsTables. aspx?lang=en&table\_id=316 (accessed August 15 2023).
- Hejaz H, Halawani B, Al-Mohtaseb F, Omar N. Continuing Pharmaceutical Education: the extent of the conviction and applicability in the Palestinian Pharmaceutical Community. Int J Nat Sci. 2021;11(1):11–23.
- Palestinian Ministry of Health. Continuing Professional Development National Guidelines. 2017 2017. https://cpd.moh.ps/assets/pdf/National\_CPD\_Guidelines.pdf (accessed August 15 2023).
- McConnell KJ, Delate T, Newlon CL. Impact of continuing professional development versus traditional continuing pharmacy education on learning behaviors. J Am Pharm Assoc (2003). 2012;52(6):742–52.
- Kheir N, Wilbur K. Chap. 13 Continuing Professional Development and Self-Learning for Pharmacists. In: *Pharmacy Education in the Twenty First Century and Beyond* edn. Edited by Fathelrahman Al, Mohamed Ibrahim MI, Alrasheedy AA, Wertheimer Al: Academic Press; 2018: 191–200.
- McConnell KJ, Newlon CL, Delate T. The impact of Continuing Professional Development Versus Traditional Continuing Pharmacy Education on Pharmacy Practice. Ann Pharmacother. 2010;44(10):1585–95.
- Sarayani A, Rashidian A, Gholami K, Torkamandi H, Javadi M. Efficacy of continuing education in improving pharmacists' competencies for providing weight management service: three-arm randomized controlled trial. J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2012;32(3):163–73.
- Cooksey K, Mailig M, Kieser M, Martin B, Margolis A. Impact of an online pharmacy precepting-focused continuing professional development program. Currents Pharm Teach Learn. 2023;15(7):673–9.
- Sacre H, Tawil S, Hallit S, Sili G, Salameh P. Mandatory continuing education for pharmacists in a developing country: assessment of a three-year cycle. Pharm Pract (Granada). 2019;17(3):1545.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.