# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Association of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms with gestational diabetes mellitus-a case control study in Wuhan, China

Jianqiong Liu<sup>1†</sup>, Qiong Dai<sup>1†</sup>, Wei Li<sup>1</sup>, Yan Guo<sup>2</sup>, Anna Dai<sup>3</sup>, Yanqing Wang<sup>1</sup>, Mengyao Deng<sup>4,5</sup>, Zhao Tang<sup>4,5</sup>, Lu She<sup>4,5</sup>, Xiaohong Chen<sup>1\*</sup> and Mei Yang<sup>4,5\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) increased risk of perinatal complications for both the women and the fetuses. The association between the vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene polymorphism and GDM has not been thoroughly investigated in Chinese pregnant women. Therefore, we aimed to determine whether VDR gene single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs154410, rs7975232, rs731236, rs2228570 and rs739837 contribute to GDM risk in Wuhan, China. Moreover, we aimed to explore their combined effects on the risk of GDM.

**Methods:** Pregnant women who had prenatal examinations at 24 to 28 weeks' gestation in our hospital from January 15, 2018 to March 31, 2019 were included in this case-control study. After exclusion, a total of 1684 pregnant women (826 GDM patients and 858 non-diabetic controls) were recruited. The clinical information and blood samples were collected by trained interviewers and nurses. Genotyping of candidate SNPs was conducted on the Sequenom MassARRAY platform. Statistical analyses including t-test, ANOVA, chi-square test and logistic regression were performed to the data with SPSS Software to evaluate differences in genotype distribution and associations with GDM risk. Multifactor dimensionality reduction method was used to explore the gene-gene interactions on the risk of GDM.

(Continued on next page)

\* Correspondence: 715063613@qq.com; yangmei88@wust.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>Jianqiong Liu and Qiong Dai contributed equally to this work.

<sup>1</sup>Maternal and Child Health Hospital of Hubei Province, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No.745 Wuluo Road, Wuhan, China

<sup>4</sup>School of Medicine, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, No.947 Heping Road, Wuhan, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

BMC

# © The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Liu et al. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth (2021) 21:142 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-021-03621-y





# **Open Access**

# (Continued from previous page)

**Results:** Differences in age, pre-pregnancy BMI, family history of diabetes and previous history of GDM between the case and control groups were statistically significant (P < 0.05), whereas no significant differences were found in height, gravidity, parity, and age of menarche (P > 0.05). There were no significant differences at genotype distributions of the examined VDR gene SNPs (P > 0.05). After adjusting by age, pre-pregnancy BMI, family history of diabetes, the results of logistic regression analysis showed no associations of the five SNPs with GDM in all the four genotype models(P > 0.05). Furthermore, there were no gene-gene interactions on the GDM risk among the five examined VDR gene SNPs.

**Conclusions:** The VDR gene SNPs rs154410, rs7975232, rs731236, rs2228570 and rs739837 showed neither significant associations nor gene-gene interactions with GDM in Wuhan, China.

**Keywords:** Gestational diabetes mellitus, Vitamin D receptor, Single nucleotide polymorphisms, Multifactor dimensionality reduction, Gene-gene interactions

# Background

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy [1]. The pooled prevalence of GDM which ranges from 5.4 to 14.8 % depending on the populations is increasing worldwide [2–5]. The most frequent perinatal complications of GDM are macrosomia, primary cesarean delivery, clinical neonatal hypoglycemia, fetal hyperinsulinemia, premature delivery, shoulder dystocia or birth injury, need for intensive neonatal care, hyperbilirubinemia, and preeclampsia [6, 7]. In severe cases, GDM can lead to prenatal death. Therefore, it is essential to identify potential risk factors of GDM for the health of women and children.

Although its exact etiology is unknown, genetic variations related to ß-cell dysfunction and insulin resistance have been shown to contribute to the development of GDM [8, 9]. Given the fact that women with a history of GDM are at an increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes (T2D) later in their lives [10] and women with a family history of diabetes may be predisposed to an increased risk of GDM [11], it is plausible to hypothesize that GDM may share the similar risk factors and genetic susceptibilities with T2D [12].

The vitamin D receptor (VDR) is a member of the large family of nuclear receptor transcription factors and specifically binds the micronutrient-derived hormone  $1\alpha$ ,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> [13]. The role for this receptor in T2D has been widely studied in recent years [14–17]. These findings have generated considerable interest in the association of VDR and GDM [18–22]. However, the conclusions were conflicting and the confounding factors and interactions between genetic polymorphisms were limited by only examining one or two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Therefore, according to genome-wide association studies of T2D, five SNPs rs154410, rs7975232, rs731236, rs2228570 and rs739837 were determined in the present case-control study along

with their combined effects on the risk of GDM in Wuhan, China.

# Methods

# Study population

Pregnant women who had prenatal examination at the Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic of Maternal and Child Health Hospital of Hubei Province from January 15, 2018 to March 31, 2019 were consecutively recruited. A two-hour, 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed for all pregnant women at 24 to 28 weeks' gestation, which was assessed from the date of the last menstrual period. The diagnosis of GDM was based upon the criteria of International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG): a fasting glucose  $\geq 5.1$  mmol/L (92 mg/dl), or a one-hour result of  $\geq 10.0 \text{ mmol/L}$  (180 mg/dl), or a two-hour result of  $\geq 8.5 \text{ mmol/L}$  (153 mg/dl) [7]. Pregnant women who reached these thresholds were included in GDM group. The non-diabetic controls were randomly selected at the same outpatient clinic matched with testing date and gestation week. Exclusion criteria were: age < 18 years; pre-gestational diabetes; multiple pregnancies; pregnancies complicated with endocrine diseases such as polycystic ovary syndrome; any other medical condition that might affect glucose regulation; unable or unwilling to cooperate with the study. After exclusion, a total of 1684 pregnant women (826 GDM patients and 858 nondiabetic controls) were recruited in the study. All the subjects were unrelated Han Chinese and lived in Wuhan of Hubei Province, a central area of China.

# Data Collection

A standard questionnaire was used by the trained interviewers to obtain information from all subjects regarding age, family history of diabetes, pregnant condition and other medical issues. Measurements of body weight and height were made for all subjects and body mass index (BMI) was calculated based on these measurements. Pre-pregnancy weight was obtained through medical records. The methods were carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

# Selection and genotyping of SNPs

By tracking the literature, combined with genome-wide association studies of T2D and minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.05 reported in Chinese population, we selected five SNPs that were commonly investigated on the risk of GDM for assessment. These SNPs were rs1544410, rs731236, rs7975232, rs2228570 and rs739837. At recruitment, maternal blood samples in the fasted state (8 to 12 h fast, no more than 12 h) were collected by skilled nurses. After that, 2 ml blood were immediately placed on ice and separated into plasma and cells within 30 min, then distributed in aliquots and stored at -80°C until analysis. Genomic DNA was isolated from 0.5 ml blood cells using the approved guideline of the Relax Gene Blood DNA System DP348 (Tiangen, China). Genotyping of candidate SNPs was conducted on the Sequenom MassARRAY platform (Sequenom Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). For quality control, 5 % of duplicate samples were independently reanalyzed in a blinded manner. The call rates of rs1544410, rs731236, rs7975232, rs2228570, rs739837 were respectively 98.93 %, 98.93 %, 98.81 %, 98.69 %, 98.99 %, which were all higher than the quality control standard (95%).

# Statistical analysis

Normality of distribution for continuous variables was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Normal distribution data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and the differences among groups were compared by unpaired Student's t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA). For non-normal distribution data, the chisquare test was performed for comparison among groups. The differences in genotype distribution as well as consistency of genotype distribution with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were tested by using the Chi-square test. The HWE was a principle stating that the genetic variation in a population will remain constant from one generation to the next in the absence of disturbing factors. Chi-square test of goodness of fit was used to measure the coincidence between the observed number of genotypes and the HWE of all genotype frequencies at the locus. If P was above 0.05, the sample of this genotype conformed to the law of genetic equilibrium, which suggested that the sample had good population representation. Logistic regression was performed to evaluate the association of the genotypes and GDM risk. All P were two-sided and if below 0.05 the results were considered statistically significant. Analyses were conducted using SPSS Software, Version 24.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR) [23] method was used to explore the gene-gene interactions on the risk of GDM.

# Results

# Clinical characteristics of subjects

The clinical characteristics of the subjects were given in Table 1. The average age of GDM group and control group was  $30.99 \pm 4.57$  and  $28.85 \pm 4.23$  years, respectively. Differences in age, pre-pregnancy BMI, family history of diabetes and previous history of GDM between the case and control groups were statistically significant (P < 0.05). The GDM patients had higher levels of age and pre-pregnancy BMI than the controls. No significant differences were found in height, gravidity, parity, and age of menarche between the GDM patients and controls (P > 0.05).

# Association between VDR gene SNPs and GDM

The distributions of five VDR gene SNPs in the control group were all in HWE (P > 0.05). The genotype distribution of VDR gene SNPs and associations of these candidate SNPs with GDM were shown in Table 2. There were no significant differences at genotype distributions of the five VDR gene SNPs (P > 0.05). Moreover, the associations of these candidate SNPs with GDM were not significant in different genotype models between cases and controls (P > 0.05). To further evaluate the associations of these SNPs with GDM, adjusted logistic regression analysis was also performed by age, pre-pregnancy BMI, family history of diabetes. The results showed that the associations of the five SNPs with GDM were still not significant in all the genotype models (P > 0.05).

# Gene-gene interactions to GDM

The analysis of gene-gene interactions indicated that both two-factor model (rs731236, rs7975232) and three-factor model (rs2228570, rs731236, rs7975232) had good cross-validation consistency at 9/10, but the test accuracy of the two-factor model (0.514) was higher than that of the three-factor model (0.511), so the best model was the two-factor gene-gene interaction model. However, as was shown in Table 3, there was no significance of the test set in the two-factor gene-gene interaction (P > 0.05). Therefore, it could be speculated that there were no gene-gene interactions on the GDM risk among the five VDR gene SNPs.

# Discussion

In the present study, we analyzed the association of VDR gene SNPs rs154410, rs7975232, rs731236,

# Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the subjects

	GDM( <i>n</i> = 826)	Non-GDM ( <i>n</i> = 858)	Р
Age <sup>a</sup> (year)	30.99 ± 4.57	28.85 ± 4.23	< 0.01
Height (cm)	159.78 ± 4.71	$159.52 \pm 6.07$	0.37
Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$22.23 \pm 3.74$	$20.89 \pm 6.60$	< 0.01
Family history of diabetes	246(29.90)	102(12.10)	< 0.01
Gravidity			0.05
1	289(35.90)	338(40.10)	
2	232(28.90)	254(30.10)	
≥ 3	283(35.20)	251(29.80)	
Parity			0.29
Multiparous	340(41.30)	332(38.70)	
previous history of GDM	47(5.69)	4(0.47)	< 0.01
Age of menarche <sup>b</sup> (year)	13.40 ± 1.40	$13.34 \pm 1.31$	0.34
Data were given as the mean $\pm$ SD or as n (	%) with the significance of differences betwee	on around ovaluated using t test or $v^2$ test	

Data were given as the mean  $\pm$  SD or as n (%), with the significance of differences between groups evaluated using t-test or  $\chi^2$  test

<sup>a</sup>Age refers to the age at which the participant was enrolled in the study

<sup>b</sup>Age of menarche refers to the age at which the first menstruation took place

Abbreviations: BMI body mass index; GDM gestational diabetes mellitus; SD standard deviation

Bold represents significant P

rs2228570 and rs739837 with GDM in Wuhan, China. The results revealed that, VDR gene polymorphic markers were not associated with GDM in central Chinese population. Furthermore, there were no gene-gene interactions on the GDM risk among the examined VDR gene SNPs.

The rs739837 SNP is located at the three-primer untranslated region (3'-UTR) of the VDR gene. This region does not affect amino acid sequence and is not likely to affect the function of the gene [24]. However, the variant rs739837 might affect the expression of VDR gene by binding with microRNA [25]. Several studies investigated the relationship between this locus and T2D and reported that rs739837 was associated with susceptibility to T2D [15, 17, 24]. To date, two studies had studied the relationship between rs739837 and GDM. Shi et al. reported no relationship between the genotypic model of rs739837 and GDM, whereas Wang et al. found a statistical correlation between the rs739837 polymorphism and GDM risk [26, 27]. However, neither of the two studies had analyzed the combined effect with other VDR gene SNPs. As susceptibility was attributable not to a single polymorphism or allele, but rather to multiple polymorphisms [28], we evaluated rs739837 and other four widely studied VDR gene SNPs and their gene-gene interactions on the risk of GDM. The result showed that there was no statistical correlation between rs739837 and GDM. Besides, no evidence was found at the gene-gene interactions. To our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate the combined effect between rs739837 and other VDR gene SNPs on the risk of GDM. The results need to be verified in future studies.

A meta-analysis on rs1544410, rs7975232, and rs731236 with the risk of T2D produced negative results [29]. As for the association between the three polymorphisms and GDM, the results were inconsistent [18, 19, 22, 30]. Our study reported a negative result, which was consistent with the finding of Apaydin et al. [18]. Rs1544410, rs7975232, and rs731236 are all located in the 3'-UTR and have been shown to be in strong linkage disequilibrium [29]. Polymorphisms of rs1544410, rs7975232, and rs731236 are probably non-functional because they are either located in intron (rs1544410 and rs7975232 in intron 8), which will be removed during mRNA post transcriptional modification, or result in no amino acid sequence change (rs731236 in exon 9).

The rs2228570 polymorphism was linked to risk of GDM in Turkish women and Iranian population [18, 31]. However, studies in other countries could not establish association between rs2228570 and GDM [19, 20]. In the present study, we reported no evidence of genotypic association of the rs2228570 polymorphism with GDM in central Chinese population. The rs2228570 is located at the 5' end region of the VDR gene. It is reported as an independent marker of the VDR gene because it has not been shown to be in linkage disequilibrium with any other VDR polymorphisms [31]. It produces either a 424 or a 427 amino acid VDR protein. These two isoforms are thus structurally distinct, unlike those VDR gene that contain polymorphisms present in the 3'-portion of the gene that are either silent codon changes or are found in introns or in the 3'-untranslated regions [32]. Even the relationship between the rs2228570 polymorphism and T2D is still controversial [14-16, 29], probably because the socio-

	GDM ( <i>n</i> = 826)	Non-GDM ( <i>n</i> = 858)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Crude P	Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted P
rs2228570	. ,				•	
Codominant model						
GG	219	230	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GA	389	415	0.98(0.78-1.24)	0.89	0.92(0.70-1.21)	0.53
AA	206	203	1.07(0.82-1.39)	0.64	2.40(0.73-1.38)	0.99
Dominant Model						
GG	219	230	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GA + AA	595	618	1.01(0.81-1.26)	0.92	0.94(0.73-1.22)	0.66
Recessive Model						
GG + GA	608	645	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
AA	206	203	1.08(0.86-1.35)	0.52	1.06(0.81-1.38)	0.67
Overdominant model						
GG + AA	425	433	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GA	389	415	0.96(0.79–1.16)	0.64	0.92(0.73-1.15)	0.45
rs1544410						
Codominant model						
СС	752	776	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
СТ	63	69	0.94(0.66-1.35)	0.74	0.98(0.65-1.49)	0.92
Π	3	3	1.03(0.21-5.13)	0.97	0.64(0.13-3.19)	0.58
Dominant Model						
СС	752	776	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
CT + TT	66	72	0.95(0.67-1.34)	0.76	0.96(0.64-1.43)	0.82
Recessive Model						
CC + CT	815	845	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
ТТ	3	3	1.04(0.21-5.15)	0.97	0.64(0.13-3.20)	0.58
Overdominant model						
CC + TT	755	779	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
СТ	63	69	0.94(0.66-1.35)	0.74	0.98(0.65-1.49)	0.93
rs739837						
Codominant model						
GG	414	447	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GT	324	327	1.07(0.87-1.31)	0.52	1.10(0.86-1.41)	0.44
Π	78	77	1.09(0.78–1.54)	0.61	1.12(0.80-1.66)	0.56
Dominant Model						
GG	414	447	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GT + TT	402	404	1.07(0.89–1.30)	0.46	1.1(0.88-1.39)	0.39
Recessive Model						
GG + GT	738	774	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
Π	78	77	1.06(0.76-1.48)	0.72	1.08(0.74–1.57)	0.70
Overdominant model						
GG + TT	492	524	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GT	324	327	1.06(0.87-1.28)	0.59	1.08(0.85–1.37)	0.52
rs731236						
Codominant model						
AA	745	778	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GA	68	67	1.06(0.75-1.51)	0.75	1.16(0.77–1.75)	0.48

# Table 2 Association between VDR gene SNPs and the risk of GDM

	GDM ( <i>n</i> = 826)	Non-GDM ( <i>n</i> = 858)	Crude OR (95 % CI)	Crude P	Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	Adjusted P
GG	4	4	1.04(0.26-4.19)	0.95	0.49(0.1-2.21)	0.35
Dominant Model						
AA	745	778	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GA + GG	72	71	1.06(0.75–1.49)	0.74	1.10(0.74–1.64)	0.65
Recessive Model						
AA + GA	813	845	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GG	4	4	1.04(0. 26-4.17)	0.96	0.48(0.1-2.18)	0.34
Overdominant model						
AA + GG	749	782	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
GA	68	67	1.06(0.75–1.51)	0.75	1.17(0.77–1.76)	0.47
rs7975232						
Codominant model						
CC	415	446	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
CA	324	328	1.06(0.87–1.30)	0.57	1.10(0.86–1.40)	0.47
AA	77	74	1.12(0.79–1.58)	0.53	1.19(0.79–1.77)	0.40
Dominant Model						
CC	415	446	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
CA + AA	401	402	1.07(0. 88 - 1.30)	0.48	1.11(0.89–1.40)	0.36
Recessive Model						
CC + CA	739	774	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
AA	77	74	1.09(0. 78-1.52)	0.61	1.14(0.78–1.68)	0.50
Overdominant model						
CC + AA	492	520	1(ref.)		1(ref.)	
CA	324	328	1.04(0.86–1.27)	0.67	1.07(0.84–1.35)	0.60

Table 2 Association between VDR gen	e SNPs and the risk of GDM (Continued)
-------------------------------------	--

Adjusted OR is adjusted for age, pre-pregnancy BMI, family history of diabetes.

Abbreviations: VDR vitamin D receptor; SNPs single nucleotide polymorphisms; GDM gestational diabetes mellitus; BMI body mass index; OR odds ratio; CI confidence interval; ref reference genotype

demographic characteristics, experimental methods, and sample size are different in the studied populations.

Our study had several strengths. First, we employed MDR method to explore the gene-gene interactions on the GDM risk among the selected SNPs. The identification and characterization of gene-gene interactions had been limited mainly by a lack of powerful statistical methods and a lack of large sample size [33]. To overcome these limitations, the MDR method was developed. It was used for detecting and characterizing high-order gene to gene interactions [34] and was shown to have good power in relatively small case-control studies [23, 35]. Second, we adjusted potential confounding factors

including age, pre-pregnancy BMI and family history of diabetes to explore the association in different genotype models. At last, we used a relatively large sample size, which was able to provide enough statistical power.

However, there were also some limitations in this study. First, the level of plasma vitamin D was not measured in all subjects and the number of women with previous history of GDM was too small to analyze. We will carry out further study with large sample size to explore the impact of gene polymorphisms on the recurrence of GDM in future. Second, the information of environmental and lifestyle factors was lacked, which had been reported recently to be important determinants of GDM

Table 3 Interaction of two-factor gene-gene model

	Sensitivity	Specificity	X <sup>2</sup>	Р	OR (95 %CI)	Карра
Training set	0.369	0.669	6.920	0.009	1.323(1.074–1.630)	0.065
Test set	0.377	0.652	0.154	0.695	1.133(0.607–2.116)	0.029
Total set	0.412	0.652	7.202	0.007	1.308(1.075–1.591)	0.063

Abbreviations: OR odds ratio; Cl confidence interval

development [36, 37]. Finally, there were some potential biases that came from the cross-sectional nature of the case–control study. Thus, cohort studies concerning the above-mentioned factors will be required in future to validate the findings of the study.

# Conclusions

The VDR gene SNPs rs154410, rs7975232, rs731236, rs2228570 and rs739837 showed non-significant associations with GDM in central Chinese population. Furthermore, there were no gene-gene interactions on the GDM risk among these SNPs.

# Abbreviations

GDM: Gestational diabetes mellitus; T2D: Type 2 diabetes; VDR: Vitamin D receptor; SNPs: Single nucleotide polymorphisms; OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test; IADPSG: International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups; BMI: Body mass index; MAF: Minor allele frequency; SD: Standard deviation; ANOVA: Analysis of variance; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium; MDR: Multifactor dimensionality reduction; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; 3'-UTR: Three-primer untranslated region

# Acknowledgements

We appreciated all the study participants, hospital workers, research staff and students who participated in this work. We also appreciated Professor Ping Wang for reviewing the manuscript.

# Authors' contributions

JL, QD contributed to the design of study, analysis and interpretation of data, and drafted the manuscript; WL, YG, AD, YW, MD, ZT and LS participated in the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data; XC and MY involved in the design and coordination of the study and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Funding

This work was supported by The National Natural Science Fund of China (81703239), Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2018FYH014) and Health Commission of Hubei Province (WJ2018H0134, WJ2018H0145). The design of the study, analysis and interpretation of data were mainly supported by The National Natural Science Fund of China; the collection and analysis of blood samples was supported by Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention; the investigation to subjects and publication of manuscripts were supported by Health commission of Hubei Province.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the institutional review board of Wuhan University of Science and Technology. All subjects provided written consents for participation.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

# Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Author details

<sup>1</sup>Maternal and Child Health Hospital of Hubei Province, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No.745 Wuluo Road, Wuhan, China. <sup>2</sup>Department of non-communicable chronic disease, Wuhan Centers for Disease Prevention and Control, Wuhan, China. <sup>3</sup>School of Basic Medicine, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China. <sup>4</sup>School of Medicine, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, No.947 Heping Road, Wuhan, China. <sup>5</sup>Research Center for Health Promotion in Women, Youth and Children, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.

# Received: 6 September 2020 Accepted: 4 February 2021 Published online: 17 February 2021

# References

- Metzger BE, Buchanan TA, Coustan DR, de Leiva A, Dunger DB, Hadden DR, Hod M, Kitzmiller JL, Kjos SL, Oats JN, et al: Summary and recommendations of the Fifth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care 2007, 30 Suppl 2:S251-260.
- Ferrara A. Increasing prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus: a public health perspective. Diabetes Care. 2007;30(Suppl 2):141–6.
- Gao C, Sun X, Lu L, Liu F, Yuan J. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in mainland China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Diabetes Investig. 2019;10(1):154–62.
- Eades CE, Cameron DM, Evans JMM. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in Europe: a meta-analysis. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2017;129:173–81.
- Mwanri AW, Kinabo J, Ramaiya K, Feskens EJ. Gestational diabetes mellitus in sub-Saharan Africa: systematic review and metaregression on prevalence and risk factors. Trop Med Int Health. 2015;20(8):983–1002.
- Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, Hadden DR, McCance DR, Hod M, McIntyre HD, et al. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(19):1991–2002.
- Wendland EM, Torloni MR, Falavigna M, Trujillo J, Dode MA, Campos MA, Duncan BB, Schmidt MI. Gestational diabetes and pregnancy outcomes–a systematic review of the World Health Organization (WHO) and the International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) diagnostic criteria. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2012;12:23.
- Kwak SH, Kim SH, Cho YM, Go MJ, Cho YS, Choi SH, Moon MK, Jung HS, Shin HD, Kang HM, et al. A genome-wide association study of gestational diabetes mellitus in Korean women. Diabetes. 2012;61(2):531–41.
- Wu L, Cui L, Tam WH, Ma RC, Wang CC. Genetic variants associated with gestational diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis and subgroup analysis. Scientific reports. 2016;6:30539.
- Bellamy L, Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Williams D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2009; 373(9677):1773–9.
- Williams MA, Qiu C, Dempsey JC, Luthy DA. Familial aggregation of type 2 diabetes and chronic hypertension in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. J Reprod Med. 2003;48(12):955–62.
- Mao H, Li Q, Gao S. Meta-analysis of the relationship between common type 2 diabetes risk gene variants with gestational diabetes mellitus. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e45882.
- Tuoresmaki P, Vaisanen S, Neme A, Heikkinen S, Carlberg C. Patterns of genome-wide VDR locations. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e96105.
- Bid HK, Konwar R, Aggarwal CG, Gautam S, Saxena M, Nayak VL, Banerjee M: Vitamin D. receptor (Fokl, Bsml and Taql) gene polymorphisms and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a North Indian study. Indian J Med Sci. 2009;63(5):187–94.
- Jia J, Ding H, Yang K, Mao L, Zhao H, Zhan Y, Shen C. Vitamin D receptor genetic polymorphism is significantly associated with risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Chinese Han population. Arch Med Res. 2015;46(7):572–9.
- Malecki MT, Frey J, Moczulski D, Klupa T, Kozek E, Sieradzki J. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and association with type 2 diabetes mellitus in a Polish population. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2003;111(8):505–9.
- Xu JR, Yang Y, Liu XM, Wang YJ. Association of VDR polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Chinese Han and Hui populations. Genet Mol Res. 2014;13(4):9588–98.
- Apaydin M, Beysel S, Eyerci N, Pinarli FA, Ulubay M, Kizilgul M, Ozdemir O, Caliskan M, Cakal E. The VDR gene Fokl polymorphism is associated with gestational diabetes mellitus in Turkish women. BMC Med Genet. 2019;20(1):82.
- El-Beshbishy HA, Tawfeek MA, Taha IM, FadulElahi T, Shaheen AY, Bardi FA, Sultan II. Association of vitamin D receptor gene Bsml (A > G) and Fokl (C > T) polymorphism in gestational diabetes among Saudi Women. Pak J Med Sci. 2015;31(6):1328–33.
- 20. Ghamdi, Al M. Association of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms with gestational diabetes in pregnant women. Wulfenia. 2014;21(9):7.

- Jin LP, Shi LJ, Zhang WP. The correlation study on vitamin D receptor Apal gene polymorphism and gestational diabetes mellitus among pregnant women. Biomed Res. 2017;28(7):4.
- Rahmannezhad G, Mashayekhi FJ, Goodarzi MT, Rezvanfar MR, Sadeghi A. Association between vitamin D receptor Apal and Taql gene polymorphisms and gestational diabetes mellitus in an Iranian pregnant women population. Gene. 2016;581(1):43–7.
- Hahn LW, Ritchie MD, Moore JH. Multifactor dimensionality reduction software for detecting gene-gene and gene-environment interactions. Bioinformatics. 2003;19(3):376–82.
- 24. Yu F, Wang C, Wang L, Jiang H, Ba Y, Cui L, Wang Y, Yu S, Li W. Study and evaluation the impact of vitamin D receptor variants on the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Han Chinese. J Diabetes. 2017;9(3):275–84.
- Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell. 2004;116(2):281–97.
- Shi A, Wen J, Liu G, Liu H, Fu Z, Zhou J, Zhu Y, Liu Y, Guo X, Xu J. Genetic variants in vitamin D signaling pathways and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. Oncotarget. 2016;7(42):67788–95.
- Wang Y, Wang O, Li W, Ma L, Ping F, Chen L, Nie M. Variants in vitamin D binding protein gene are associated with gestational diabetes mellitus. Medicine. 2015;94(40):e1693.
- Horikawa Y, Oda N, Cox NJ, Li X, Orho-Melander M, Hara M, Hinokio Y, Lindner TH, Mashima H, Schwarz PE, et al. Genetic variation in the gene encoding calpain-10 is associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nat Genet. 2000;26(2):163–75.
- 29. Li L, Wu B, Liu JY, Yang LB. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Arch Med Res. 2013;44(3):235–41.
- Zhu B, Huang K, Yan S, Hao J, Zhu P, Chen Y, Ye A, Tao F: VDR variants rather than early pregnancy vitamin D concentrations are associated with the risk of gestational diabetes: the Ma'anshan birth cohort (MABC) study. J Diabetes Res 2019, 2019:8313901.
- Aslani S, Hossein-Nezhad A, Mirzaei K, Maghbooli Z, Afshar AN, Karimi F. VDR Fokl polymorphism and its potential role in the pathogenesis of gestational diabetes mellitus and its complications. Gynecological endocrinology: the official journal of the International Society of Gynecological Endocrinology. 2011;27(12):1055–60.
- Jurutka PW, Remus LS, Whitfield GK, Thompson PD, Hsieh JC, Zitzer H, Tavakkoli P, Galligan MA, Dang HT, Haussler CA, et al: The polymorphic N terminus in human vitamin D receptor isoforms influences transcriptional activity by modulating interaction with transcription factor IIB. Molecular endocrinology (Baltimore, Md) 2000, 14(3):401–420.
- Cho YM, Ritchie MD, Moore JH, Park JY, Lee KU, Shin HD, Lee HK, Park KS. Multifactor-dimensionality reduction shows a two-locus interaction associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia. 2004;47(3):549–54.
- Ritchie MD, Hahn LW, Roodi N, Bailey LR, Dupont WD, Parl FF, Moore JH. Multifactor-dimensionality reduction reveals high-order interactions among estrogen-metabolism genes in sporadic breast cancer. Am J Hum Genet. 2001;69(1):138–47.
- Ritchie MD, Hahn LW, Moore JH. Power of multifactor dimensionality reduction for detecting gene-gene interactions in the presence of genotyping error, missing data, phenocopy, and genetic heterogeneity. Genet Epidemiol. 2003;24(2):150–7.
- Carroll X, Liang X, Zhang W, Zhang W, Liu G, Turner N, Leeper-Woodford S. Socioeconomic, environmental and lifestyle factors associated with gestational diabetes mellitus: a matched case-control study in Beijing, China. Scientific reports. 2018;8(1):8103.
- He JR, Yuan MY, Chen NN, Lu JH, Hu CY, Mai WB, Zhang RF, Pan YH, Qiu L, Wu YF, et al. Maternal dietary patterns and gestational diabetes mellitus: a large prospective cohort study in China. Br J Nutr. 2015;113(8):1292–300.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

# At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

