RESEARCH



Genome-wide association study of nausea and vomiting during pregnancy in Japan: the TMM BirThree Cohort Study



Yudai Yonezawa^{1,2}, Ippei Takahashi¹, Hisashi Ohseto¹, Fumihiko Ueno^{1,3}, Tomomi Onuma^{1,3}, Aoi Noda^{1,3,4}, Keiko Murakami^{1,3}, Mami Ishikuro^{1,3}, Taku Obara^{1,3,4} and Shinichi Kuriyama^{1,3,5*}

Abstract

Background Nausea and vomiting during pregnancy (NVP) and hyperemesis gravidarum (HG), common conditions affecting most pregnant women, are highly heritable and associated with maternal and fetal morbidity. However, the pathologies underlying NVP and HG and their associated loci are scarce.

Methods We performed genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of NVP in pregnant women (n = 23,040) who participated in the Tohoku Medical Megabank Project Birth and Three-Generation Cohort Study in Japan from July 2013 to March 2017. Participants were divided into discovery (n = 9,464) and replication (n = 10,051) stages based on the platform used for their genotyping. Loci that achieved the genome-wide significance level ($p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$) in the discovery stage were selected for genotyping in the replication stage. A meta-analysis integrating the discovery and replication stage results (n = 19,515) was conducted. NVP-related variables were identified as categorical or continuous.

Results GWAS analysis in the discovery phase revealed loci linked to NVP in two gene regions, 11q22.1 (rs77775955) and 19p13.11 (rs749451 and rs28568614). Loci in these two gene regions have also been shown to be associated with HG in a White European population, indicating the generalizability of the GWAS analyses conducted in this study. Of these, only rs749451 and rs28568614 at 19p13.11 reached the genome-wide suggestive level ($p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$) in the replication stage; however, both loci were significant in the meta-analysis.

Conclusions NVP-related loci were identified in the Japanese population at 11q22.1 and 19p13.11, as reported in previous GWAS. This study contributes new evidence on the generalizability of previous GWAS on the association between genetic background and NVP.

Keywords Hyperemesis gravidarum, Nausea and vomiting of pregnancy, GWAS, Genetic, GDF15, Japan, PGPEP1, TRPC6

*Correspondence:

Shinichi Kuriyama

kuriyama@med.tohoku.ac.jp

¹Division of Molecular Epidemiology, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-1 Seiryo-machi, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8575, Japan ²Innovation Division, KAGOME CO., LTD, 17 Nishitomiyama, Nasushiobara, Tochigi 329- 2762, Japan

³Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization, Tohoku University, 2-1 Seiryomachi, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8573, Japan
⁴Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tohoku University Hospital, 1-1 Seiryo-machi, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-0872, Japan
⁵International Research Institute of Disaster Science, Tohoku University, 468-1 Aramakiaoba, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8572, Japan

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Nausea and vomiting of pregnancy (NVP) is a common disorder affecting approximately 80% of all pregnant women [1]. Severe nausea and vomiting, known as hyperemesis gravidarum (HG), occurs in 0.3–3.6% of pregnant women worldwide [2]. HG is associated with maternal and fetal morbidity and has been linked to nutritional deficiencies during pregnancy, oesophageal damage, mental health effects, and birth outcomes such as preterm delivery and low birth weight [3]. Therefore, it is important to elucidate the pathologies of NVP and HG, which have serious implications for maternal and fetal health.

In a previous study on twins, the heritability of NVP was estimated to be approximately 73% for presence and 53% for severity [4], suggesting that elucidating the genetic mechanism is essential to gain insights into the disease's etiology. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have gained interest in genetics research to identify genetic variations underlying particular diseases. However, to our knowledge, only three previous GWAS have identified such an association in patients with HG or excessive vomiting in pregnancy. Fejzo et al. identified the association between loci related to GDF15 and IGFBP7 (placentation, appetite, and cachexia genes) and HG in European ancestry populations [5]. Changalidis et al. also identified the association between GDF15-related loci and excessive vomiting in pregnancy in European ancestry populations [6]. Furthermore, the GWAS metaanalysis of European and Asian ancestry populations, including British Bangladeshis and Pakistanis, revealed the association between the GDF15-related locus and HG [7]. A recent study reported that the severity of nausea and vomiting during pregnancy is caused by the interaction between fetal-derived GDF15 and maternal sensitivity to this peptide [8]. However, no such studies involving East Asian ancestry populations, including Japanese populations, have been reported. Furthermore, allele frequency (AF) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) differ between East Asian and other ancestry populations. Therefore, we speculated that GWAS for NVP and HG in the Japanese population may identify unique loci and provide evidence for the generalizability of GWAS results with other ancestries. Moreover, we hypothesized that the analysis of different classifications of NVP severity based on the presence or absence of vomiting and appetite could identify the new genetic factors related to NVP severity.

To test these speculations, the present study aimed to clarify the association between genetic factors and NVP in Japanese participants of the Tohoku Medical Megabank Project Birth and Three Generations Cohort Study (TMM BirThree Cohort Study). The participants were divided into discovery and replication stages for a two-step evaluation. The key loci underlying NVP and HG were analyzed using a meta-analysis conducted by combining the results from the discovery and replication stages. The present study will provide new evidence on the association between genetic background and NVP, which may contribute to understanding the pathologies of NVP and HG.

Methods

Study design and population

Participants included in this study were pregnant women enrolled in the Tohoku Medical Megabank Project Birth and Three-Generation Cohort Study (TMM BirThree Cohort Study) in Japan reported previously [9, 10]. A total of 23,040 pregnant women were recruited in this study from July 2013 to March 2017. In the TMM BirThree Cohort Study, genotyping was started using JPav2 from families for whom biological samples were available and gradually switched to genotyping with JPA NEO as the study progressed. Thus, participants included during the early phases of the study were more frequently genotyped with Axiom Japonica Array v2 (JPav2), while those in the late phases of the study were more frequently genotyped with Axiom Japonica Array NEO (JPA NEO). Participants compatible with the following criteria were excluded from the analysis: call rates<0.99, sex mismatch between questionnaire and genotype data, non-Japanese ancestry, or one of a pair of close relatives (PI_HAT>0.1875). The participants were assigned to discovery and replication stages for a two-stage evaluation based on their genotyping information-those genotyped using JPA NEO (n=10,256) were assigned to the discovery cohort, and those genotyped using JPAv2 (n=11,206) were assigned to the replication stage. Finally, after excluding the participants using the criteria shown in Fig. 1, a total of 9,464 and 10,051 participants were included in the discovery and replication stages, respectively. Subsequently, all participants in these two groups (n=19,515) were included in the meta-analysis. None of the participants were common between the discovery or replication stages.

Genotyping, imputation, and QC

JPA NEO and JPav2 were used for genotyping the participants to create the imputed genomic dataset. JPA NEO was developed by modifying JPAv2, and the differences between the two were described in a previous study [11]. Variants that corresponded to any one of the following criteria: call rates<0.95, minor allele frequency (MAF)<0.01, and *p*-values for the Hardy– Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test< 1.0×10^{-5} were removed. An imputed genotype dataset was generated by pre-phasing using SHAPEIT2 [12] with the duoHMM option [13], which utilizes information on relatedness



Fig. 1 Flow chart of the participants in this study. A total of 23,040 pregnant women were recruited in this study from July 2013 to March 2017. After excluding the participants based on the shown exclusion criteria, 9,464 and 10,051 participants were included in the discovery and replication stages, respectively. The participants in the discovery and replication stages were combined in the meta-analysis stage. JPA NEO, Axiom Japonica Array NEO; JPAv2, Axiom Japonica Array v2; NVP, nausea and vomiting of pregnancy; QC, quality control

between individuals to enhance phasing accuracy. The phased genotypes were then imputed using a cross-imputed panel of 3.5KJPNv2 [14] and 1KGP3 [15] using IMPUTE4 software [16]. The '--merge_ref_panels' option in IMPUTE2 [17] was used to construct a cross-imputation panel for 3.5KJPNv2 and 1KGP3. This resulted in an imputed genotype dataset in Oxford BGEN format (https://www.well.ox.ac.uk/gav/qctool/). The details of the imputation methods have been described previously [16, 17]. After imputation, the criteria for QC in the GWAS were as follows: call rate<0.99, MAF<0.01, *p*-values for the HWE test<1.0×10⁻⁶, and INFO score<0.4. Finally, a total of 11,813,083 and 11,799,399 SNPs were included in the GWAS in the discovery and replication stages, respectively.

Genome-wide association study (GWAS)

To verify the association between all eligible variants and the presence of NVP, a linear mixed-model analysis was performed using Genome-wide Complex Trait Analysis [18]. Associations between variants and binary variables were evaluated using a fast GLMM-based Genome-Wide Association tool (fastGWA-GLMM) to calculate regression coefficients, standard errors (SEs), and *p*-values [19]. The association between variants and continuous variables was assessed using the fast MLM-based Genome-Wide Association tool (fastGWA) to calculate regression coefficients, SEs, and *p*-values [20]. A sparse GRM was created with a cut-off value of 0.05 using the '--make-bK-sparse' option and specified with the '--grmsparse' option in the GWAS analysis to adjust for genetic relatedness. Age and the first 10 principal components from principal component analysis (PCA) of the genotype data were used as adjustment variables. PCA was performed on the pre-imputed genomic data using the '--pca approx' option in PLINK 2.0. Variants with $p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ and $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ were considered genomewide significant and suggestive, respectively. Variants with $p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ in the discovery stage were extracted and analyzed in the replication stage. For the replication stage, $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ was used as the significance threshold. Loci that satisfied these two significance levels were considered replicated. Meta-analyses combining the discovery- and replication-stage results were performed using METAL [21] to calculate p-values and directions. Secondary GWAS analyses were performed using the data obtained from replication stage participants. LocusZoom 1.4 was used to generate regional association plots of SNPs [22]. The reference sequence from the 1000

Genomes Project for hg19 in Asians in November 2014 was used to calculate the LD in LocusZoom [15].

Outcomes

The data on the NVP variable was collected from the participants using a questionnaire comprising a single question (Have you had any symptoms of morning sickness between this pregnancy and now?) with four answers ("no," "nausea only," "vomited but could eat," and "vomited and could not eat") during their early stages of pregnancy. Subsequently, the following four patterns were created using the NVP variable: binary 1 ("no" vs. "nausea only," "vomited but could eat," and "vomited and could not eat"), binary 2 ("no" and "nausea only" vs. "vomited but could eat" and "vomited and could not eat"), binary 3 ("no," "nausea only," and "vomited but could eat" vs. "vomited and could not eat"), binary 4 ("no" vs. "vomited and could not eat"), and continuous (regarding four categories as consecutive severity grading). Binary 1 was divided according to whether the person had nausea, binary 2 according to whether the person had vomiting, and binary 3 according to whether the person could eat food. Binary 4 was created to compare the group with no symptoms of NVP with the group with severe symptoms.

Statistical analysis

Basic characteristics are presented as the means and standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables and as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables in the discovery and replication cohorts. In addition to age and the NVP variable, other characteristics, such as height (cm), pre-pregnancy weight (kg), gestational age at acquisition of the NVP variable (weeks), parity (never, one or more), infant sex (male, female), gestational age at delivery (<37 weeks, 37–41 weeks, ≥ 42 weeks), hypertensive disorder in pregnancy (HDP; yes, no) and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM; yes, no) of the participants were also evaluated. Information on height and weight was collected using the questionnaire during early pregnancy. Information on parity, infant sex, gestational age at delivery, HDP and GDM was collected from medical records. All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 4.1.2). Functional annotation was performed using ANNOVAR software (2022Mar30; http://annovar.openbioinformatics.org/ en/latest/). Functional analysis using HaploReg v4.2 was performed on lead SNPs where significant associations were identified [23]. The LD threshold for HaploReg was set to $r^2=0.2$, and the population used for LD calculations was the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 1 Asian descent [15]. The GTEx portal was used to examine loci that satisfied genome-wide levels in the discovery or replication stages of the study and to

Results

Characteristics of the study population

The participant characteristics for the discovery (n=9,464) and replication (n=10,051) stages are listed in Table 1. The participants in both groups had similar distributions for each variable, with an average age of 31.2 years. Approximately 15% of the pregnant women had no symptoms of NVP, whereas approximately 10% had severe NVP with vomiting and could not eat. The difference in gestational age at the acquisition of the NVP variable between the two stages was approximately 1.7 weeks.

GWAS for association with NVP at the discovery stage

GWAS was performed to analyze NVP by severity by classifying NVP into the following five patterns: binary 1, binary 2, binary 3, binary 4, and continuous (Fig. 2a-e; Table 2). The locus rs749451 at 19p13.11 reached genome-wide significance levels in binary 2 (Fig. 2b; Table 2). The locus rs77775955 at 11q22.1 was verified to have reached genome-wide significance levels in binary 4 (Fig. 2d; Table 2). In the discovery stage, two loci, rs77775955 at 11q22.1 and rs28568614 at 19p13.11, were found to have reached genome-wide significance levels (Fig. 2e; Table 2). The 11q22.1 region was found between PGRAS1 and TRPC6, wherein 19p13.11 was located in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of PGPEP1. Both loci have been previously reported in European populations [5]. No significant variants were identified in binaries 1 or 3 (Fig. 2a and c; Table 2).

GWAS for association with NVP at the replication stage and meta-analysis

The participants included in the replication stage were genotyped to validate the two NVP-related gene regions identified in the discovery stage (Table 2). The results showed an association at one of the two gene regions, 19p13.11 (with $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$), in both binary 2 and continuous. However, no association with the threshold *p*-value of $< 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ was confirmed for loci at 11q22.1 in binary 4 and continuous. In the meta-analysis that combined the discovery- and replication-stage results, associations with $p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ were confirmed at loci at both gene regions, and the direction of the effect was also consistent. The GWAS results at the replication stage are presented in Fig. 2f-j. In addition to the loci identified in the discovery stage, GWAS in the replication stage identified rs149783780

Table 1 Characteristics of pregnant women included in this study and their infants

	Discovery stage (JPA NEO)	Replication stage (JPAv2)
n	9464	10,051
Age (years)	31.2±5.0	31.2±5.0
Height (cm)	158.4 ± 5.4	158.4 ± 5.3
Pre-pregnancy weight (kg)	53.5 ± 9.0	54.0±9.2
Gestational age at acquisition of the NVP variable (weeks)	21.3±9.7	19.6±8.5
NVP		
No	1360 (14.4)	1439 (14.3)
Nausea only	4144 (43.8)	4481 (44.6)
Vomited but could eat	2897 (30.6)	3102 (30.9)
Vomited and could not eat	1063 (11.2)	1029 (10.2)
Parity		
Never	4311 (45.6)	5166 (51.4)
One or more	5142 (54.3)	4861 (48.4)
Missing	11 (0.1)	24 (0.2)
Infant sex		
Male	4827 (51.0)	5200 (51.7)
Female	4558 (48.2)	4833 (48.1)
Missing	79 (0.8)	18 (0.2)
Gestational age at delivery		
< 37 weeks	592 (6.3)	559 (5.6)
37-41 weeks	8713 (92.1)	9434 (93.9)
≥42 weeks	9 (0.1)	14 (0.1)
Missing	150 (1.6)	44 (0.4)
HDP		
Yes	388 (4.1)	493 (4.9)
No	8965 (94.7)	9530 (94.8)
Missing	111 (1.2)	28 (0.3)
GDM		
Yes	239 (2.5)	228 (2.3)
No	9114 (96.3)	9795 (97.5)
Missing	111 (1.2)	28 (0.3)

Data show means ± SD or n (%); GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HDP, hypertensive disorder in pregnancy; JPA NEO, Axiom Japonica Array NEO; JPAv2, Axiom Japonica Array v2; NVP, nausea and vomiting of pregnancy; SD, standard deviation

at 8q21.3 and rs2867907 at 11q11 in binary 2 and rs75393668 at 4q25 in binary 3, which met genomewide significance levels. Based on the annotations and LocusZoom analysis results, 11q11 was located in a region rich in olfactory receptors, such as OR4A15 and OR4C15, whereas 4q25 was located between DKK2 and PAPSS1. Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots for all GWAS analyses are shown in Fig. 3. Regional association plots for the discovery and replication stages are shown in Additional file 1, Fig. S1. The results of the functional analysis with HaploReg are shown in Additional file 2, Tables S1–S6. Subsequently, the loci that met genomewide levels at the discovery or replication stage were examined on the GTEx portal, which revealed that rs28568614, located at 19p13.11, was associated with expression of LRRC25 in the muscularis of the esophagus. No significant eQTLs were found for the other variants.

Discussion

The loci rs749451 at 19p13.11 and rs77775955 at 11g22.1 in binary 2 ("no" and "nausea only" vs. "vomited but could eat" and "vomited and could not eat") and rs28568614 at 19p13.11 in continuous patterns reached the significance threshold in the discovery stage. Of these, the loci at 19p13.11 (rs749451 and rs28568614) reached a significance level of $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ in the replication stage. In addition, in the meta-analysis that integrated the discovery and replication stages results, all three loci at both regions (19p13.11 and 11q22.1) met the significance level of $p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$. These loci have been reported in a previous study [5]. Although no significant differences were observed in the discovery stage, GWAS in the replication stage identified rs149783780 at 8q21.3 and rs2867907 at 11q11 in binary 2 ("no" and "nausea only" vs. "vomited but could eat" and "vomited and could not eat") and rs75393668 at 4q25 in binary 3 ("no," "nausea only," and



Fig. 2 Genome-wide association study (GWAS) for association with NVP. (**a**–**e**) Manhattan plot of GWAS with the NVP variable as (**a**) binary 1 ("no" vs. "nausea only", "vomited but could eat," and "vomited and could not eat"), (**b**) binary 2 ("no" and "nausea only" vs. "vomited but could eat" and "vomited and could not eat"), (**b**) binary 2 ("no" and "nausea only" vs. "vomited but could eat" and "vomited and could not eat"), (**b**) binary 2 ("no" and "nausea only" vs. "vomited but could eat" and "vomited and could not eat"), (**c**) binary 3 ("no", "nausea only" and "vomited but could eat" vs. "vomited and could not eat"), (**d**) binary 4 ("no" vs. "vomited and could not eat"), and (**e**) continuous (regarding four categories as consecutive severity grading) at the discovery stage. (**f**–**j**) Manhattan plot of GWAS with the NVP variable as (**f**) binary 1, (**g**) binary 2, (**h**) binary 4, and (**j**) continuous at the replication stage. Red and blue lines indicate regions that reached genome-wide significance ($p = 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$) and suggestive ($p = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$) levels. GWAS, genome-wide association studies; NVP, nausea and vomiting of pregnancy

"vomited but could eat" vs. "vomited and could not eat") as NVP-related loci.

The locus rs77775955 at 11q22.1, upstream of *TRPC6*, reached the significance threshold in the discovery stage and meta-analysis, but no significant association was

identified in the replication stage. GWAS in a European population showed that a *TRPC6*-related variant, rs2508362 at 11q22.1, was associated with HG [5]. Functional analysis using HaploReg could not identify any variants significantly (with LD values of r^2 >0.2)

							Disco	very stage (JPA N	EO)	Replic	ation stage (JPA)	r2)	Meta-ana	lysis
ytoband	Sentinel SNP	Position (hg19)	Gene	Function	Ref	Alt	AF1	β (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	AF1	β (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	Directior
inary 2														
19p13.11	rs749451	19:18479647	PGPEP1	UTR3	\vdash	U	0.49	0.184 (0.032)	8.04E-09	0.49	0.202 (0.031)	1.16E-10	5.55E-18	+ +
inary 4														
11q22.1	rs77775955	11:101247714	PGR-AS1, TRPC6	intergenic	U	\triangleleft	0.56	-0.392 (0.063)	5.54E-10	0.53	-0.182 (0.064)	4.46E-03	1.42E-10	
ontinuous.														
11q22.1	rs77775955	11:101247714	PGR-AS1, TRPC6	intergenic	U	\triangleleft	0.55	-0.090 (0.014)	3.89E-11	0.55	-0.046 (0.013)	4.11E-04	9.75E-13	I I
19p13.11	rs28568614	19:18479019	PGPEP1	UTR3	A	U	0.49	0.085 (0.014)	4.54E-10	0.48	0.091 (0.013)	1.87E-12	5.66E-21	+++

associated with rs77775955 (Additional file 2, Table S1). However, when we analyzed it using Locuszoom, multiple variants with strong associations (LD values of $r^2 > 0.2$) were identified (Additional file 1, Fig. S1b and c). TRPC6 encodes a non-selective cation channel that allows the uptake of essential elements, such as iron [24, 25] and zinc [26, 27], and is expressed at higher levels in the human placenta [28]. Furthermore, a variant near TRPC6, rs3018700 at 11q22, was associated with preeclampsia in a GWAS in a Finnish population [29]. The results of the present study suggest that the variation in the TRPC6-related variant may affect not only preeclampsia, which occurs mainly in the second trimester of pregnancy but also cause NVP symptoms in early pregnancy. This locus is also located downstream of PGR-AS1, a long non-coding RNA that is associated with the progesterone receptor signaling pathway. Previous GWAS have reported an association between loci close to the *PGR* and HG [5, 30].

Variants in the 19p13.11 region, located in the 3' UTR of PGPEP1, are in close proximity to GDF15 (Additional file 1, Fig. S1a, d, e, i, and j). A previous study has shown that variants located in PGPEP1 are associated with blood GDF15 levels [31]. GDF15 is a member of the TGF- β superfamily and is highly expressed in placental trophoblasts [32]. GDF15 may promote fetal survival by promoting placentation and suppressing the production of inflammatory cytokines to maintain pregnancy [32]. In addition to its role in pregnancy, GDF15 has been shown to regulate body weight and appetite by activating neurons in the hypothalamus and posterior brainstem (vomiting center) [33, 34]. Concordant with our study, a previous GWAS in European populations reported that a GDF15-related variant, rs16982345 at 19p13.11, was also associated with HG [5]. Furthermore, in a comprehensive study using whole exome sequencing, one common variant was exome-wide significant in GDF15 and another rare variant in GDF15 that increased the risk of HG by more than 10-fold was identified, suggesting a strong association between GDF15 and HG [35]. Furthermore, both fetal GDF15 production and maternal susceptibility have also been shown to be associated with HG risk [8]. In addition, the loci identified in this study, rs749451 and rs28568614 at 19p13.11, had an LD value of $r^2 > 0.2$ with the locus rs16982345 reported in a previous study (Additional file 2, Table S2 and 3).

In the replication stage GWAS analysis, rs149783780 at 8q21.3, with unknown surrounding function, rs2867907 at 11q11 in the olfactory receptor-rich region in binary 2 ("no" and "nausea only" vs. "vomited but could eat" and "vomited and could not eat"), and rs75393668 at 4q25 in binary 3 reached the significance level ($p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$; Fig. 2, Table 3). In the 11q11 region, a wide range of variants showed high *p*-values, indicating the presence



Fig. 3 The Q–Q plot for association with NVP. (a–e)The Q–Q plot with the NVP variable as (a) binary 1 ("no" vs. "nausea only", "vomited but could eat," and "vomited and could not eat"), (b) binary 2 ("no" and "nausea only" vs. "vomited but could eat" and "vomited and could not eat"), (c) binary 3 ("no", "nausea only," and "vomited but could eat" vs. "vomited and could not eat"), (d) binary 4 ("no" vs. "vomited and could not eat"), and (e) continuous (regarding four categories as consecutive severity grading) at the discovery stage. (f–j) The Q–Q plot with the NVP variable as (f) binary 1, (g binary 2, (h) binary 3, (i) binary 4, and (j) continuous at the replication stage. NVP, nausea and vomiting of pregnancy

of numerous olfactory receptors within the region. It is possible that the sequence of this olfactory receptor-rich region, 11q11, causes differences in olfactory sensitivity between individuals, which may influence NVP symptoms. The locus rs75393668 at 4g25 was identified only when the most severe symptom was the case of " vomited and could not eat," which suggests that this locus is associated with severe NVP. The candidate gene DKK2 is an antagonist of catenin signaling and is involved in early embryonic development [36]. However, to our knowledge, the association of DKK2 with NVP symptoms such as anorexia has not been reported. Therefore, further studies are required to explore the role of these loci in NVP. Moreover, as these three loci were significant only in the GWAS at the replication stage, their validation is essential.

This study had several limitations. First, the NVP variable used in this study was self-reported and collected through a questionnaire rather than a medical diagnosis. However, the rate of NVP among participants (approximately 85%) detected in this study is close to that reported in a previous study (80%) [1]. Additionally, the NVP-related questions to define the four patterns (binary 1-4) in this study were straightforward and clear; thus, misclassification was likely to be low. Second, a functional analysis of model organisms was not performed for the loci related to NVP identified in this study. Third, the statistical power was low because of the small sample size of the GWAS. Fourth, selection bias may exist, and the extrapolation of findings may be limited because all participants were Japanese. However, one of the strengths of this study is that it is based on a prospective birth cohort design, the TMM BirThree Cohort Study and replication was performed on separate participants within the same cohort.

In conclusion, this study in the Japanese population successfully identified different NVP-related loci at 11q22.1 and 19p13.11, as reported in previous studies in a European population. The findings of this study provide further insights into the generalizability of previous GWAS on the association between genetic background and NVP. Collectively, these findings can assist in

Cytoband Sentinel SNP Position (hg19) Gene Function Ref AF1 B (SE) p-value AF1 D (D	Cytoband Sentinel SN Sinary 2						Discov	/ery stage (JPA	NEO)	Replic	cation stage (JP/	4v2)	Meta-ana	ysis
Binary 2 19p13.11 s5/49451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.49 0.184 (0.032) 8.04E-09 0.49 0.202 (0.031) 1.16E-1 8q21.3 rs149783780 8:90026699 CAAA CA 0.01 -0.042 (0.145) 7.73E-01 0.01 0.833 (0.145) 1.00E-0 11q11 rs2867907 11:55170876 <i>ORAA15, OR4C15</i> Intergenic C T 0.50 -0.017 (0.033) 6.09E-01 0.50 -0.178 (0.032) 3.87E-0 Binary 3 4q25 rs75393668 4:108157443 <i>DKK2, PAPS51</i> Intergenic T C 0.91 0.121 (0.088) 1.69E-01 0.91 -0.542 (0.091) 2.80E-0 Binary 4 19p13.11 rs749451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.50 0.312 (0.063) 6.17E-07 0.49 0.373 (0.064) 4.61E-0 Continuous 1.60131 rs749451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.40 0.084 0.014 5.07E-01 0.49 0.373 (0.064) 4.61E-0 10613.11 rs749451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.40 0.084 0.014 5.07E-01 0.49 0.002 (0.013) 1.08E-1	Binary 2	P Position (hg19)	Gene	Function	Ref	Alt	AF1	β (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	AF1	β (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	Direction
19p13.11 rs/149451 19:18479647 PGPEP1 UTR3 T C 0.49 0.184 (0.032) 8.04E-09 0.49 0.202 (0.031) 1.16E-1 8q21.3 rs/149783780 8:90026699 - - CAAA CA 0.01 -0.042 (0.145) 7.73E-01 0.01 0.833 (0.145) 1.00E-C 11q11 rs/2867907 11:55170876 OR4A15, OR4C15 Intergenic C T 0.50 -0.178 (0.032) 3.87E-C Binary 3 4q25 rs/75393668 4:108157443 DKK2, PAPS57 Intergenic T C 0.91 0.121 (0.038) 1.69E-01 0.50 -0.178 (0.032) 3.87E-C Binary 4 19p13.11 rs/249451 19:18479647 PGFP1 UTR3 T C 0.50 0.312 (0.063) 1.61E-07 0.91 0.532 (0.064) 4.61E-C Binary 4 19p13.11 rs/149451 19:18479647 PGFP1 UTR3 T C 0.51 0.121 (0.063) 6.17E-07 0.91 0.542 (0.091) 2.80E-C Binary 4 19p13.11 rs/149451 19:18479647 P	10~1311 ×7404E1													
8q21.3 rs149783780 890026699 - - CAAA CA 0.01 -0.042 (0.145) 7.73E-01 0.01 0.833 (0.145) 1.00E-0 11q11 rs2867907 11:55170876 <i>ORA15, ORACI5</i> Intergenic C T 0.50 -0.017 (0.033) 6.09E-01 0.50 -0.178 (0.032) 387E-0 Binary 3 4q25 rs75393668 4:108157443 <i>DKK2, PAPSS1</i> Intergenic T C 0.91 0.121 (0.088) 1.69E-01 0.91 -0.542 (0.091) 2.80E-0 Binary 4 19p13.11 rs749451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.50 0.312 (0.063) 6.17E-07 0.49 0.373 (0.064) 4.61E-C Continuous 19:013.11 rs749451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.49 0.373 (0.064) 4.61E-C	10121111111000	19:18479647	PGPEP1	UTR3	T	υ	0.49	0.184 (0.032)	8.04E-09	0.49	0.202 (0.031)	1.16E-10	5.546E-18	++
11q11 rs2867907 11:55170876 <i>ORAA15, ORAC15</i> Intergenic C T 0.50 -0.017 (0.033) 6.09E-01 0.50 -0.178 (0.032) 387E-C 3inary 3 3	8q21.3 rs14978378(8:90026699			CAAAA	AO	0.01	-0.042 (0.145)	7.73E-01	0.01	0.833 (0.145)	1.00E-08	8.95E-05	+
Jinary 3 4q25 rs/5393668 4:108157443 DKK2, PAPSS1 Intergenic T C 0.91 0.121 (0.088) 1.69E-01 0.91 -0.542 (0.091) 2.80.E-6 Sinary 4 19p13.11 rs/749451 19:18479647 PGPEP1 UTR3 T C 0.312 (0.063) 6.17E-07 0.49 0.373 (0.064) 4.61E-C Continuous 19:01311 rs/749451 19:18479647 PGPEP1 UTR3 T C 0.49 0.084 (0.014) 5.97E-10 0.49 0.092 (0.013) 1.08E-1	11q11 rs2867907	11:55170876	OR4A15, OR4C15	Intergenic	U	⊢	0.50	-0.017 (0.033)	6.09E-01	0.50	-0.178 (0.032)	3.87E-08	1.73.E-05	1
4q25 rs75393668 4:108157443 <i>DKK2, PAPS51</i> Intergenic T C 0.91 0.121 (0.088) 1.69E-01 0.91 -0.542 (0.091) 2.80.E- 3inary 4 19p13.11 rs749451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.50 0.312 (0.063) 6.17E-07 0.49 0.373 (0.064) 4.61E-C Continuous 15:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.49 0.084 6.0140 5.97E-10 0.49 0.092 (0.013) 1.08E-1	3inary 3													
3inary 4 19p13.11 rs749451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.50 0.312 (0.063) 6.17E-07 0.49 0.373 (0.064) 4.61E-C Continuous 196.13.11 rs740451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> ITTR3 T C 0.49 0.084 (0.014) 5.97E-10 0.49 0.092 (0.013) 1.08E-1	4q25 rs75393668	4:108157443	DKK2, PAPSS1	Intergenic	⊢	υ	0.91	0.121 (0.088)	1.69E-01	0.91	-0.542 (0.091)	2.80.E-09	8.96E-04	Ŧ
19p13.11 rs749451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> UTR3 T C 0.50 0.312 (0.063) 6.17E-07 0.49 0.373 (0.064) 4.61E-C Continuous 1964311 rs740451 19:18479647 <i>PGPEP1</i> ITTR3 T C 0.49 0.084 (0.014) 5.97E-10 0.49 0.092 (0.013) 1.08E-1	3inary 4													
Continuous 19613-11 re249451 19-18479647 <i>DGPED1</i> ITTR3 T C 0.49 0.084 (0.014) 5.97E-10 0.49 0.092 (0.013) 1.08E-1	19p13.11 rs749451	19:18479647	PGPEP1	UTR3	F	υ	0.50	0.312 (0.063)	6.17E-07	0.49	0.373 (0.064)	4.61E-09	1.80E-14	++
1961311 rs749451 19-18479647 PGPEP1 ITTR3 T C 0.49 0.084 (0.014) 5.97E-10 0.49 0.092 (0.013) 1.08E-1	Continuous													
	19p13.11 rs749451	19:18479647	PGPEP1	UTR3	L	υ	0.49	0.084 (0.014)	5.97E-10	0.49	0.092 (0.013)	1.08E-12	4.42E-21	+

Abbreviations Allele frequency AF eQTL Expression quantitative trait loci fastGWA Fast MLM-based genome-wide association tool fastGWA-GLMM Fast GLMM-based genome-wide association tool GTEx Genotype-tissue expression Genome-wide association study GWAS НG Hyperemesis gravidarum HWE Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium IDB Identity by descent JPA NEO Axiom Japonica Array NEO Axiom Japonica Array v2 JPAv2 ID Linkage disequilibrium MAF Minor allele frequency Nausea and vomiting of pregnancy NVP Standard error SF TMM

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12884-024-06376-4.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

We are sincerely grateful to the participants of the TMM BirThree Cohort Study and the staff of the Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization at Tohoku University. The full list of members is available at https://www.megabank. tohoku.ac.jp/english/a220901/. We also thank Editage (www.editage.com) for the English language editing.

Author contributions

Y.Y. designed the study, analysed the data, wrote the manuscript, and was primarily responsible for the final content. I. T., H. O. and S. K. reviewed the manuscript. All the authors provided critical feedback and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) (grant numbers JP17km0105001, JP17km0105002, JP21tm0124005 and JP21tm0424601).

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines stipulated in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human participants were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tohoku University Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization (2021-4-055). Informed consent was obtained from all participants at the time of recruitment.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

developing therapies targeting relevant proteins at identified loci and new methods for prediction and diagnosis.

1471	raduce and vormanig
QC	Quality control
Q–Q	Quantile-quantile
SD	Standard deviation

BirThree Cohort Study Tohoku Medical Megabank Project Birth and Three Generations Cohort Study

Competing interests

Y.Y. is employee of KAGOME CO., LTD. There are no other conflicts of interest.

Received: 1 June 2023 / Accepted: 27 February 2024 Published online: 20 March 2024

References

- 1. Gadsby R, Barnie-Adshead AM, Jagger C. A prospective study of nausea and vomiting during pregnancy. Br J Gen Pract. 1993;43:245–8.
- Einarson TR, Piwko C, Koren G. Quantifying the global rates of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy: a meta analysis. J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol. 2013;20:e171–83.
- London V, Grube S, Sherer DM, Abulafia O. Hyperemesis Gravidarum: a review of recent literature. Pharmacology. 2017;100:161–71.
- Colodro-Conde L, Jern P, Johansson A, Sánchez-Romera JF, Lind PA, Painter JN, et al. Nausea and vomiting during pregnancy is highly heritable. Behav Genet. 2016;46:481–91.
- Fejzo MS, Sazonova OV, Sathirapongsasuti JF, Hallgrímsdóttir IB, Vacic V, MacGibbon KW, et al. Placenta and appetite genes GDF15 and IGFBP7 are associated with hyperemesis gravidarum. Nat Commun. 2018;9:1178.
- Changalidis AI, Maksiutenko EM, Barbitoff YA, Tkachenko AA, Vashukova ES, Pachuliia OV, et al. Aggregation of Genome-Wide Association Data from Finn-Gen and UK Biobank replicates multiple risk loci for pregnancy complications. Genes (Basel). 2022;13:2255.
- Fejzo M, Zöllner J, Pujol-Gualdo N, Laisk T, Finer S, van Heel D, et al. GDF15, genetic risk factor for Hyperemesis Gravidarum, inversely Associated with pregnancy weight gain. Obstet Gynecol. 2023;141:73S–4.
- Fejzo M, Rocha N, Cimino I, Lockhart SM, Petry C, Kay RG, et al. GDF15 linked to maternal risk of nausea and vomiting during pregnancy. Nature. 2024;625(7996):760–7.
- Kuriyama S, Metoki H, Kikuya M, Obara T, Ishikuro M, Yamanaka C, et al. Cohort Profile: Tohoku Medical Megabank Project Birth and Three-Generation Cohort Study (TMM BirThree Cohort Study): rationale, progress and perspective. Int J Epidemiol. 2020;49:18–19m.
- Kuriyama S, Yaegashi N, Nagami F, Arai T, Kawaguchi Y, Osumi N, et al. The Tohoku Medical Megabank Project: design and mission. J Epidemiol. 2016;26:493–511.
- Sakurai-Yageta M, Kumada K, Gocho C, Makino S, Uruno A, Tadaka S, et al. Japonica array NEO with increased genome-wide coverage and abundant disease risk SNPs. J Biochem. 2021;170:399–410.
- 12. Delaneau O, Zagury J-F, Marchini J. Improved whole-chromosome phasing for disease and population genetic studies. Nat Methods. 2013;10:5–6.
- O'Connell J, Gurdasani D, Delaneau O, Pirastu N, Ulivi S, Cocca M, et al. A general approach for haplotype phasing across the full spectrum of relatedness. PLoS Genet. 2014;10:e1004234.
- Tadaka S, Katsuoka F, Ueki M, Kojima K, Makino S, Saito S, et al. 3.5KJPNv2: an allele frequency panel of 3552 Japanese individuals including the X chromosome. Hum Genome Var. 2019;6:28.
- 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Garrison EP, Kang HM, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature. 2015;526:68–74.
- Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature. 2018;562:203–9.
- Howie BN, Donnelly P, Marchini J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies. PLoS Genet. 2009;5:e1000529.
- Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am J Hum Genet. 2011;88:76–82.

- 19. Jiang L, Zheng Z, Fang H, Yang J. A generalized linear mixed model association tool for biobank-scale data. Nat Genet. 2021;53:1616–21.
- Jiang L, Zheng Z, Qi T, Kemper KE, Wray NR, Visscher PM, et al. A resourceefficient tool for mixed model association analysis of large-scale data. Nat Genet. 2019;51:1749–55.
- Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:2190–1.
- Pruim RJ, Welch RP, Sanna S, Teslovich TM, Chines PS, Gliedt TP, et al. LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:2336–7.
- 23. Ward LD, Kellis M. HaploReg: a resource for exploring chromatin states, conservation, and regulatory motif alterations within sets of genetically linked variants. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40(Database issue):D930–4.
- Mwanjewe J, Grover AK. Role of transient receptor potential canonical 6 (TRPC6) in non-transferrin-bound iron uptake in neuronal phenotype PC12 cells. Biochem J. 2004;378:975–82.
- Knutson MD. Non-transferrin-bound iron transporters. Free Radic Biol Med. 2019;133:101–11.
- Gibon J, Tu P, Bohic S, Richaud P, Arnaud J, Zhu M, et al. The over-expression of TRPC6 channels in HEK-293 cells favours the intracellular accumulation of zinc. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011;1808:2807–18.
- Chevallet M, Jarvis L, Harel A, Luche S, Degot S, Chapuis V, et al. Functional consequences of the over-expression of TRPC6 channels in HEK cells: impact on the homeostasis of zinc. Metallomics. 2014;6:1269–76.
- Hasna J, Abi Nahed R, Sergent F, Alfaidy N, Bouron A. The deletion of TRPC6 channels perturbs Iron and zinc homeostasis and pregnancy outcome in mice. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2019;52:455–67.
- Tyrmi JS, Kaartokallio T, Lokki I, Jääskeläinen T, Kortelainen E, Ruotsalainen S et al. GWAS of preeclampsia and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy uncovers genes related to cardiometabolic, endothelial and placental function. Preprint medRxiv. 2022;2022.05.19.22275002.
- Fejzo MS, Trovik J, Grooten IJ, Sridharan K, Roseboom TJ, Vikanes Å, et al. Nausea and vomiting of pregnancy and hyperemesis gravidarum. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2019;5:62.
- Ho JE, Mahajan A, Chen M-H, Larson MG, McCabe EL, Ghorbani A, et al. Clinical and genetic correlates of growth differentiation factor 15 in the community. Clin Chem. 2012;58:1582–91.
- Moore AG, Brown DA, Fairlie WD, Bauskin AR, Brown PK, Munier ML, et al. The transforming growth factor-ss superfamily cytokine macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 is present in high concentrations in the serum of pregnant women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000;85:4781–8.
- Tsai VW, Macia L, Johnen H, Kuffner T, Manadhar R, Jørgensen SB, et al. TGF-b superfamily cytokine MIC-1/GDF15 is a physiological appetite and body weight regulator. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e55174.
- Tsai VW, Manandhar R, Jørgensen SB, Lee-Ng KKM, Zhang HP, Marquis CP, et al. The anorectic actions of the TGFβ cytokine MIC-1/GDF15 require an intact brainstem area postrema and nucleus of the solitary tract. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e100370.
- Fejzo MS, MacGibbon KW, First O, Quan C, Mullin PM. Whole-exome sequencing uncovers new variants in GDF15 associated with hyperemesis gravidarum. BJOG. 2022;129(11):1845–52.
- Zhang Y, Peng S, Kuang H, Chen Q, Liu S, Zhang L, et al. Expression and regulation of Dickkopf2 during periimplantation in mice. J Reprod Dev. 2009;55:17–22.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.